1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION
3	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )CIVIL ACTION
5	Plaintiff, )NO. 1:16-cv-03088-ELR
6	vs. )
7	STATE OF GEORGIA, )
8	Defendants. )
9	
10	
11	VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF
12	MICHAEL D. ROWLAND
13	
14	Thursday, June 9, 2022, 9:02 a.m., EST
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	HELD AT:
21	Robbins Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC 500 14th Street, N.W.
22	Atlanta, Georgia 30318
23	
24	WANDA L. ROBINSON, CRR, CCR, No. B-1973
25	Certified Shorthand Reporter/Notary Public



1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
2	
3	Appearing on Behalf of the Plaintiff:
4	
5	KELLY GARDNER, ESQUIRE VICTORIA LILL, ESQUIRE
6	LAURA TAYLOE, ESQUIRE U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division
7	950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20579
8	T: 202.305.6630 F: E-mail: kelly.gardner@usdoj.gov
9	victoria.lill@usdoj.gov laura.tayloe@usdoj.gov
10	
11	
12	Appearing on Behalf of the Defendant:
13	JAVIER PICO PRATZ, ESQUIRE
14	DANIELLE HERNANDEZ, ESQUIRE MELANIE JOHNSON, ESQUIRE
15	Robbins Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC 500 14th Street, N.W.
16	Atlanta, Georgia 30318 T: 404.856.3261
17	E-mail: jprats@robbinsfirm.com dhernandez@robbinsfirm.com
18	mjohnson@robbinsfirm.com
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	ALSO PRESENT:
2	VIA ZOOM:
3	FRANCES COHEN, ESQUIRE
4	PATRICK HOLKINS, ESQUIRE
5	RENEE WOHLENHAUSE, ESQUIRE
6	ANDREA HAMILTON, ESQUIRE
7	SANDRA LE VERT, ESQUIRE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
L4	ALSO PRESENT:
15	BRANDON BRANTLEY, Videographer
16	
17	
18	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1		INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS	
2			
3	MICHAEL D. RO	OWLAND	
4	By Ms. Taylo	e Page 9	1
5			
6			
7		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
8	PLAINTIFF'S		
9	NO.	DESCRIPTION PAG	ŀΕ
10	Exhibit 114	Notice of Deposition 2	8
11	Exhibit 115	. ,	6
12		Rowland To Doug Suits GA00196767	
13	Exhibit 116		1
14		Rowland To Clara Keith GA00196789	
15	Exhibit 117	<b>-</b>	1
16		Checklist SBE/DOE GNETS Program	
17		GA00196790-GA00	
18	Exhibit 118	·	8
19		Rowland To Ted Beck GA00279624	
20	Exhibit 119		0
21		Paul To Nakeba Rahming/Gregory Snapp Electronic Attachment	
22		GA00197246-GA00197247	
23	Exhibit 120	·	1
24		Rowland To Keith and Recipients GA01929308-GA01929309	
25			



	0111122 0171120 10		
1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued)	
2	PLAINTIFF'S		
3	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	Exhibit 121	2/24/2017 Email From Michael Rowland To Kerri Miller/David Mosel	
5		GA01486553-GA01486554	- <i>Y</i>
6	Exhibit 122	Facility Conditions Assessments of GNETS -GSFIC	109
7		GA01486555-GA01486562	
8	Exhibit 123	3/14/2017 Email From Michael Rowland To Recipients	118
9		Electronic Attachment GA01488731-GA01488734	
10	Erbibi+ 104	11/8/2017 Email From Michael	127
11	EXIIIDIC 124	Rowland To Mark Morgan With Attached Email To Morgan	127
12		GA00132718-GA00132719	
13	Exhibit 125	8/10/2016 Email Chain From Michael Rowland To Leonard McCoy	134
14		GA04088751-GA04088752	
15	Exhibit 126	2/26/2018 Email Chain From Michael Rowland To Todd Cason	154
16		GA00315849-GA00315850	
17	Exhibit 127	5/18/2016 Email Chain From Michael Rowland To Nakeba Rahming	157
18		Electronic Production GA00041561-GA00041564	
19	Exhibit 128	3/3/2016 Email Chain From Michael	159
20	EXIIIDIC 128	Rowland To Emily Jones Electronic Production	139
21		GA00196912	
22	Exhibit 129	GSFIC Request For Qualifications Project No. E-414-FY16-GNET	160
23		Electronic Production GA00196913-GA00196	
24		GAUUIJUJIJ-GAUUIJU	
25			



1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued)	
2	PLAINTIFF'S		
3	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	Exhibit 130	1/8/2017 Email From Michael Rowland To Pat Schofill	161
5		Electronic Production GA04089636	
6	Exhibit 131	Facilities List - Excel Spreadsheet	163
7		GA04089637	
8	Exhibit 132	3/1/2017 Email From Michael Rowland To Marilyn Dryden	167
9		Electronic Production GA04092894-GA04092895	
10	Exhibit 133	8/16/2017 Email Chain From Michael	169
11		Rowland To Nakeba Rahming GA00791991-GA00791993	
12	Exhibit 134	6/9/2017 Email From Michael	171
13		Rowland To Stacey Suber-Drake, et al GA02546048	L.
14 15	Exhibit 135	2016 State of Our Schools America's K-12 Facilities	175
16		GA00985661-GA00985707	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



1		INI	DEX OF EXHIBITS (Previously Marked)	
2	PLAINTI	FF'S		
3	NO.		DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	Exhibit	86	12/1/2015 Email From Michael Rowlan To Ted Beck	59
5			With Attached Documents GA00196569-GA00196587	
6	Exhibit	87	4/20/2017 Email Chain From Michael	125
7		0 7	Rowland To Clara Keith With Attached Document	123
8			GA001488847-GA01488858	
9	Exhibit	88	3/30/2017 Email From Michael	112
10			Rowland To Recipients With Attached Document	
11			GA00198597-GA00198600	
12	Exhibit	89	2/17/2016 Email From Michael Rowland To Keith Clara	74
13			With Attached Documents GA00196895-GA00196898	
14				
15	Exhibit	91	7/25/2016 Email Chain From Stacey Suber-Drake To Nakeba Rahming	87
16			With Attached Document GA01486054-GA01486056	
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				



1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This will be the video
2	deposition of Michael Rowland, being taken in
3	the matter of United States United States of
4	America versus State of Georgia.
5	Today's date is June 9th, 2022.
6	The time on the record is 9:02 a.m.
7	My name is Brandon Brantley. I'm the
8	videographer. Wanda Robinson is the court
9	reporter.
10	Counsel, please introduce yourselves for
11	the record, after which the court reporter will
12	swear in the witness.
13	MS. TAYLOE: My name is Laura Tayloe. I
14	represent the United States. I'm here with my
15	colleagues Kim Gardner and Victoria Lill.
16	MR. PICO PRATS: I'm Javier Pico. I
L7	represent the State of Georgia, and I'm here
18	with my colleagues Melanie Johnson and Danielle
L9	Hernandez.
20	
21	MICHAEL D. ROWLAND,
22	being duly sworn, was examined and testified as
23	follows:
24	



1	EXAMINATION		
2	BY MS. TA	YLOE:	
3	Q	Good morning, Mr. Rowland.	
4	A	Good morning.	
5	Q	Thank you for your time.	
6		As you heard, I represent the United	
7	States in	the action the United States versus the	
8	State of (	Georgia.	
9		Am I correct in understanding you're	
10	represented by Mr. Pico for purposes of today's		
11	deposition	n?	
12	A	That is correct.	
13	Q	Have you ever been deposed before?	
14	A	Yes.	
15	Q	In what context?	
16	A	A little over a year ago in another	
17	GNETS-related case.		
18	Q	Is that the only time?	
19	A	Yes.	
20	Q	And in that deposition were you a	
21	representa	ative of the Department of Education, or	
22	was that	in your personal capacity?	
23	A	Representative of the Department of	
24	Education	•	
25	Q	Right. So you understand just like	



1	that time, you're under oath. I'm going to ask
2	questions and your obligation is to answer as
3	truthfully and completely as you can.
4	A Yes, ma'am.
5	Q And the court reporter here is recording
6	what we say, recording our conversation for the
7	transcript. So I would ask that you speak slowly
8	and clearly, answer verbally without head shakes or
9	uh-huhs or uh-uhs.
10	Is that okay?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And so that we can avoid talking over each
13	other, I will let you answer my question before I
14	ask my next question and I would ask you to let me
15	finish my question before you begin your answer.
16	Is that okay?
17	A Yes.
18	Q If you don't understand my question,
19	please feel free to tell me you don't understand. I
20	can clarify it or rephrase it.
21	A Okay.
22	Q And if there comes a time later that you
23	remember something that you think would more
24	completely answer a question that we've already

addressed, just let me know. We can go back and



1	supplement that record.
2	A Okay.
3	Q If you're well, if the attorney for the
4	State objects to my questions, you may still answer
5	them, so long as he doesn't instruct you not to
6	answer. Do you understand?
7	A Yes, ma'am.
8	MS. TAYLOE: I want to state for the
9	record the parties have stipulated objections
10	except as to form and privilege have been
11	reserved.
12	MR. PICO PRATS: Yes.
13	BY MS. TAYLOE:
14	Q Mr. Rowland, we'll take occasional breaks,
15	but if you need a break outside of one that is
16	scheduled, just let us know. My only question would
17	be request would be that you answer any pending
18	question and can we see about taking a break.
19	A Okay.
20	Q Is there any reason you can think of that
21	would prevent you from being able to answer
22	completely and truthfully.
23	A No.
24	Q Thank you. Okay. That takes care of the
25	background stuff.



1	Could you please tell me your tell me
2	about your educational background after high school.
3	A Okay. I attended Abraham Baldwin
4	Agriculture College, where I have an associate
5	degree in business administration. Then Georgia
6	College, where I have a bachelor of bachelor of
7	science in political science.
8	And I have a Master's degree from Georgia
9	College in social studies and an educational
10	specialist degree from the University of Georgia.
11	Q What is the specialist degree in?
12	A I'm sorry. School administration.
13	Q Thank you.
14	Okay. Do you have any other relevant
15	certifications, credentials, licenses for the work
16	that you do?
17	A I hold a license to teach in the State of
18	Georgia. I think it expires this year, actually.
19	And a license as a school administrator.
20	Q And are you currently employed by the
21	Georgia Department of Education?
22	A No.
23	Q Okay. Who is your current employer?
24	A Well, I'm retired and I work part-time for
25	a firm SSOE. Stevens and Wilkinson.



1	Q When did	you retire?
2	A August o	f 2021.
3	Q Did you	retire from a position in the
4	Department of Educ	ation?
5	A Yes.	
6	Q What was	that position?
7	A I was th	e assistant director for Facility
8	Services.	
9	Q How long	had you worked for the Department
10	of Education prior	to your retirement?
11	A 10 years	•
12	Q And how	long had you been in the assistant
13	director for Facil	ity Services position?
14	A About fo	ur years.
15	Q So can w	re I'll do rough.
16	So appro	ximately 2017 to 2021?
17	A Um, that	sounds about right. I went to
18	work with the depa	rtment in 2011.
19	Q Why don'	t we why don't we worked
20	forward then?	
21	A Okay.	
22	Q What pos	ition did you have in 2011?
23	A In 2011	I was hired as an educational
24	facilities consult	ant in the Facilities Services
25	Division. That wa	s in August of 20 I'm sorry.



1	August of 2011.
2	In October of the following year, I was
3	hired as the director of Facility Services, and I
4	held that position until a reorganization of the
5	department, where we combined Facilities and
6	Transportation. I think '16 or '17 is about the
7	right time frame for that.
8	The reorganization gave me a chance to
9	take a lesser position in the department and work
10	from home, so I took advantage of that and worked
11	for the balance of my term as the assistant
12	director, just working with facilities.
13	Q Okay. So in the combined Facilities and
14	Transportation Department, you were working on the
15	facilities side?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. Thank you.
18	Then let's go back a little bit before
19	that. You said you had a teaching license. Did you
20	have education experience before
21	A Yes.
22	Q these roles?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Can you tell me what those were?
25	A I taught high school social studies



1	well, middle school, high school social studies from
2	1982 to 1988.
3	From '88 to '92, I worked as an
4	administrator with alternative education population.
5	In 1996, I became a high school principal
6	in Pulaski County; and I was high school principal
7	in Wayne County for six years; Putnam County for two
8	years, which is where I currently reside. And the
9	balance of my career I worked in the central office
LO	as the operations director for the Putnam County
11	schools.
12	Q Operations director, is that sort of
13	oversees all the different aspects of the school
14	system?
15	A Well, it was yes. My responsibilities
16	were Facilities, Transportation, Food Service, and
17	Finance.
18	Q Facilities, Transportation, Food Service,
19	and Finance. Okay.
20	And I want to back up for a second. You
21	mentioned you had an administrative role with an
22	alternative education population. Can you tell me
23	what that entailed?
24	A Yes. In let me make sure I get the



dates right.

Something about retirement, you forget 1 2 things. I think that's a trigger. 3 But probably about -- I worked in Houston 4 County at that time, and Houston County had an 5 alternative school program for the six -- eight --6-12 population at that time, and probably around 6 7 '89, '90 they started a program specific to middle 8 grade students, where a population -- the population 9 was students who had been -- I'm going to use this term for lack of a better one -- adjudicated, 10 11 disruptive through a due process feature that 12 assigned them to an alternative program. 13 Then there was a population of students 14 that were behind grade level and we created a 15 program to work with those students. 16 Eventually, those two programs were housed 17 in a facility where we had in that facility a -- it 18 would have been a GNETS program now. Back in those 19 days it was referred to as Psycho Ed. 20 And as, as principal of that facility, I 21 had oversight over the day-to-day operations of the 22 facility and I guess day-to-day oversight over the 23 disruptive population and the off-grade level 24 population, and my building housed -- I'll refer to

it as the GNETS program at that time, but I really



1	didn't	have	direct	oversight	over	those	students.
---	--------	------	--------	-----------	------	-------	-----------

Q So thank you for all that. I want to make sure I understand that.

So you're saying in one facility there was the program for adjudicated, disruptive students, behind grade level program, and the GNETS program was separate from those two?

A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. Thank you. We're going to come back to some of those things later. I was getting background there.

So going forward, if I ask you a question and your answer would depend on your position at the time, or just clarify, let me know, because I may have the sequence wrong if I'm assuming you were in one position when I ask a question. Just let me know.

A Yes.

Q Can you describe your responsibilities in the position you had before your retirement, in your assistant director of Facility Services?

A The Department of Facility Services was responsible for administering the State's capital outlay program for K-12 schools, and my role was -- and in that role there were five field consultants



1	that worked with school systems to assist them in
2	the facility, planning aspect of that requirement.
3	And I oversaw the relationship between the
4	or I had oversight over the relationship between
5	the field consultants, the school districts, and the
6	rules, guidelines and implementation of that capital
7	outlay program for schools.
8	Q And you mentioned a facility planning
9	aspect requirement. What was the requirement?
10	A In order for schools to participate in
11	order for school systems to participate in the
12	State's capital outlay program, the law requires
13	that they engage in a five-year local facility
14	planning process, and that process is defined by
15	State Board rule guideline procedure.
16	Q So each school district presents the local
17	facility plan every five years or updates it every
18	year?
19	I'm sorry. How does that work time wise?
20	A Yes. So there's a little bit of
21	evolution, even in the 10 years that I was with the
22	department.
23	Early on, it was a five-year snapshot, if
24	you want to call it that, of the facility needs in

that particular school system. But even in the 10



1	years that I was there, we evolved away from that
2	snapshot approach and more toward an annual update.
3	And what the law requires is that the
4	facility plan be updated annually and that every
5	five years there be a visit from a validation team.
6	So, so when we're for those of us in
7	the business, we talk about this five-year this
8	five-year exercise as the five as kind of the
9	five-year plan, but it really gets updated every
10	year with, with student count numbers, FTE numbers,
11	and the needs of the district.
12	Q Do you know if GNETS facilities are
13	included in the local facilities plans?
14	A It depends.
15	Q What does it depend on?
16	A Well, so, so if the local facility plan,
17	the district let me answer this way.
18	If a GNETS program were housed in a
19	facility that was included in the district's local
20	facility plan, then the answer is yes.
21	If a GNETS program or center were housed
22	in a facility that was not in the district's local
23	facility plan, then the answer would be no.
24	Q Okay. I'm going to jump out of my order
25	here because this is coming up now.



1	A That's okay.
2	Q Are you familiar
3	MS. TAYLOE: Well, strike that.
4	BY MS. TAYLOE:
5	Q Facilities that are GNETS facilities
6	that are included in LFPs, are they in what many
7	people consider general education school, a
8	classroom in a general education school?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And ones that are not included in LFPs
11	might be standalone centers, facilities that only
12	serve GNETS students?
13	A I wouldn't say that's true in total, but
14	it could be true.
15	Q What kinds of what part of that did I
16	not get right?
17	A So if, if from time to time school
18	districts using the State's rules could phase a
19	facility out of their facility plan; and when they
20	do that, what they're saying is the district, for
21	any number of reasons, no longer has a K-12 use, an
22	instructional use for this facility. And so we want
23	to we're going to phase it out of our plan.
24	It doesn't mean the district doesn't own
25	it anymore, doesn't mean that the district might not



1	find some use for it. It's just that the district
2	is saying we're not going to use it for a K-12 FTE
3	earning function.
4	And from time to time a GNETS a center
5	might have been located in one of these facilities
6	that have been phased out of a local facility plan.
7	But it is also possible that a school system would
8	say we have a facility that is in our plan, that is
9	considered a center, and GNETS population is housed
10	there but other programs are housed there as well.
11	And so in that case a center could still be in the
12	local facility plan.
13	Q Thank you for clarifying that.
14	If a GNETS facility
15	MS. TAYLOE: I'm sorry.
16	Q If a GNETS program moves into a facility
17	that had been phased out, would that facility remain
18	on the district's LFP?
19	A No.
20	Q Because it's not eligible for state funds
21	anymore because it's phased out?
22	A Correct.
23	Q Okay. I wanted to make sure I got that.
24	Thank you.

I'm going to go out of my order, too,



1 because we started talking about things I 2 anticipated coming up later. 3 Could you clarify for us, please, what a K-12 FTE function is? 4 5 Α Yes. The State counts students in full-time equivalents, and there's really kind of 6 7 two components of that. One, I'm not aware of --8 less familiar with, the other I'm more familiar 9 with. 10 But in -- relative to the state law for 11 funding programs, FTE -- they're weighted FTE. 12 various categories of students earn funds based on 13 their identity. You know, whether they're 9-12, 14 vocational programs, special education programs. 15 All have a different weight that applies to the 16 funding formula. And that's -- and I know that in 17 general and not specifically. I have no knowledge 18 of what those weights are. 19 But capital outlay we use non-weighted 20 FTE, which is more like a straight student count. 21 And the law, the law says that the FTE that we --22 the way we calculate FTE for planning purposes is 23 there's a count in October, there's one in March, 24 and it's -- the formula is two times the fall count, 25 one time the spring count, divided by three.



1	So that average is used in the LFP to
2	project FTE growth or loss over the next five-year
3	period.
4	Q And then that FTE number that's calculated
5	that way is used to determine the funding that the
6	facility or the district will receive from the
7	State?
8	A In part.
9	Q In part they'll receive additional funds
10	or that's part of what goes into the calculation?
11	A You're asking me for facilities, right?
12	Just on the facilities side?
13	Q I was going to clarify that because you
14	talk about the weighted number.
15	For the unweighted ones, that's for
16	facilities?
17	A That's right.
18	Q An for the weighted ones, it's used for
19	the same thing but it goes more toward programmatic
20	funds?
21	A The weighted FTE is the QBE formula money
22	that goes to the district.
23	Q Oh, so the weighted FTE is the QBE. Could
24	you just state for the record what QBE is?
25	A Quality basic education.



A I do not know that specifically. I just don't know -- I don't know -- I don't know the ratio and the funding formula for, for the various programs.

There are, as can you imagine, any number of programs, GNETS being one of them, and each carries a funding.

So one is the -- 1.0 is the baseline, and then generally a GNETS program would get funded at 1. something, something higher than one against the formula.

But I'm not familiar with the specific funding ratios.

Q So how is it then that GNETS students could be placed in a K-12 school that's been phased out if being phased out means it's not eligible for FTE function anymore?

A So it is the practice -- or it was at the time the practice of the Department to say -- the determiner is where are you counting the FTE, and what we would typically see in a situation where the -- where a phased-out facility was being used by a district is that they would be using that facility

1	to deliver the program from multiple school
2	locations in a larger district, and so that FTE was
3	actually being reported back to a home school, not
4	reported from a facility code at the phased-out
5	facility.
6	Q So if I'm understanding this correctly, so
7	the FTE is attributed to the district that like
8	the home school of the student for purposes of FTE
9	accounting, but their services are being provided at
10	the phased-out facility; is that right?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Thank you. That's helpful.
13	Okay. So that was a little bit we went
14	far more into detail than I expected but that was
15	helpful to get that context.
16	Back to when you were the assistant
17	director for Facilities Services, who did you report
18	to?
19	A Pat Schofill.
20	Q And who reported to you?
21	A Hum. I really had no supervisory role
22	over anyone.
23	Q Not over the field consultants?
24	A I had a relationship with the field
25	consultants and I worked with them regularly but I



1	did not I did not complete anyone's annual
2	evaluation.
3	Q Okay. Understand. Thank you.
4	And for clarity, I also saw references to
5	field directors. Is that the same position as the
6	field consultants or is that someone different?
7	A I'm thinking yes. I'm thinking that's,
8	that's what our field consultants
9	Q There's no other title you're familiar
10	with?
11	A No, not within the Facility Services unit.
12	Q Thank you.
13	And then when you were the assistant
14	director for Facility Services, were there other
15	state government agencies or subagencies that you
16	sometimes worked with or communicated with as part
17	of your facilities work?
18	A Yes.
19	Q What would they be?
20	A Well, we communicated with the Governor's
21	Office of Planning and Budget frequently over
22	budgeting.
23	That's the, that's the main outside entity
24	that comes to mind. I don't have a specific memory
25	of other agencies. It doesn't mean it didn't



1	happen. I'm just not coming up obviously, we had
2	a direct line relationship with the Governor's
3	Office when it came to budgeting.
4	Q Who was your main contact there?
5	A Oh, my I can't recall. The people at
6	OPB changed pretty regularly, and I just, I just do
7	not recall the names.
8	Q That's fine. And how frequently would you
9	say you were in communication with people at OPB?
10	A Not infrequently. You know, it
11	typically, they would have a question about the
12	budget submission was usually in the September 1st
13	time frame. So obviously from September 1st through
14	December our office, whether it was me or other
15	people well, they didn't communicate just with
16	me, but sometimes I was involved in those
17	communications, and the purpose was to glean
18	information based on the budget requests that we
19	submitted from the local from the planning
20	process.
21	And then from time to time throughout the
22	course of, of a year, certainly when the legislature
23	was in town, there might be inquiries.
24	I'm thinking of the legislature. From
25	time to time we were asked to communicate with both

1	the house and senate planners, staff. We met with
2	senators and representatives from time to time at
3	their request for whatever specific questions they
4	might have.
5	Q So would you describe your role there
6	mostly as providing information that they sought, or
7	were you in an advocacy role?
8	A I think more provide information.
9	Q Okay. Thank you.
10	MS. TAYLOE: I am going to I'm going to
11	hand the court reporter an exhibit that I would
12	like to have marked Exhibit 114.
13	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-114 was
14	marked for identification.)
15	BY MS. TAYLOE:
16	Q Have you seen this document before?
17	A Yes.
18	MS. TAYLOE: For the record, this is the
19	notice of deposition of Michael Rowland.
20	BY MS. TAYLOE:
21	Q Can you confirm you received this
22	deposition notice and your appearance today is
23	pursuant to this notice?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And you understand this deposition is



1	being taken and in connection with the litigation
2	that we've been we referred to earlier?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And you've been using this term all right,
5	but just to be clear, when we talk about the GNETS
6	program, do you understand us to be referring to the
7	Georgia Network for Educational and Therapeutic
8	Support program?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Thank you.
11	When did you first learn of this
12	litigation?
13	A Um, I really think and I'm not really
14	clear on what year this was, but probably the first
15	I learned of it was in the 2014, '15, '16 time
16	frame, and the trigger to that was prior to that
17	budget year the Governor's Office put, I'm
18	remembering, \$14 million into a budget for GNETS
19	facilities grants.
20	And so that was kind of well, not kind
21	of. That was either at that time or just prior to
22	learning about that through somebody saying, hey,
23	you're going to get some money from the Governor's
24	Office and it's for this, and I started asking, so

what's going on? And then I learned about the

1	lawsuit	
L I	Lawsult	

- Q So is it fair to say that this kind of grant was not a recurring thing, this is the first time there had been a facilities grant for GNETS?
  - A Yes.
- Q What is your understanding of the nature of the lawsuit?
- A I had -- my understanding was that the, the nexus of the lawsuit had to do with the way program services were being provided to GNETS eligible students.
- Q What did you understand the issue with the way they were being provided was?
  - A You know, I really don't have a strong -I don't even have -- I don't even have a superfluous
    understanding of that, other than, as I mentioned, I
    have a background as an educator that tells me that,
    you know, based on IEP, students are eligible for
    services that are identified. Specifically what
    they might be, that knowledge is not -- I don't have
    that at my finger tips, but whatever, whatever
    service or supports or program -- programs, for lack
    of a better way to put it, that GNETS students were
    eligible for, it's my understanding that the
    Department of Justice had some concern about --

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1	maybe that's understating it, but had some concern
2	about how those were being delivered, or if they
3	were being delivered.

- So I was going to ask you when you first became aware of the GNETS program but you testified your work with the alternative schools you were in, facility with the predecessor the GNETS program, the psycho educational facilities? Is that when you first learned about the program?
- I would say, you know, I first taught 1982, and in 1982 we had severely disruptive students that, that were -- that were being served by whatever program language was in effect at that time.
- But I didn't really become involved as an administrator until the early '90s -- '89, '90 time frame.
- 18 How did you become involved in the early 0 19 '90s?
- 20 Through the alternative school that I --21 where I served as the administrator.
- 22 And that was in the code placed program at Q 23 the facility?
- 24 Α Yes.
- 25 Q And then when you left that position, did



1	you have any involvement with GNETS again before the
2	grant issue arose?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What was that?
5	A I was a high school principal for 11
6	years, in three different school systems, and from
7	time to time there were students in those schools
8	that were referred for GNETS services.
9	Q And when you say referred for GNETS
10	services, does that mean they were referred to a
11	different building to receive those services?
12	A Well, my experience was that we would
13	or my memory of my experience was that we if we
14	had a situation where, you know, these kids were
15	being served on a continuum generally, in the sense
16	that we obviously were trying to find the place to
17	educate them that is least restrictive, but if their
18	behavior was disruptive to the point that it
19	impacted their education in the setting where they
20	were, then an IEP team would be assembled and we
21	would meet with the parents and the IEP team and
22	look at the child's services that were being
23	provided, how it was impacting their program, and
24	then the team would make a decision about placement.

Okay. And so sometimes that team would

Q

decide	that	the	ser	vices	а	child	need	ded	would	be
only a	vailak	ole a	at a	GNETS	S 1	Eacilit	cies	pro	gram?	

A Well, I would say it this way: The team would decide the child needed GNETS services. At that point it really became an issue of how, how did the district provide those services.

Because in some cases the district provided those services at the home school, either in some kind of pullout program, or some separation from the general population, or some -- didn't have to be that way. It could be that -- again, based on the continuum of the child's behavior, some kids could manage the regular environment to some degree or, or -- you know, certainly less restrictive than GNETS, but they needed the restrictive nature of the GNETS program for some portion of the day.

So it really depended -- again, my memory of my experience is it really depended on the situation.

And then in some instances school systems had made management decisions, for lack of a better way to put it, to house a GNETS program at a campus, not, not the home campus.

Q What is your understanding of how the GNETS program is structured?



1	A Well, I really don't have any knowledge
2	of, of the program side of GNETS, other than what
3	I've explained to you about how it worked when I
4	served as a school administrator.
5	But the last high school principalship I
6	had was in 2007, and, really, from 2007 moving on I
7	just really didn't have any kind of contact with the
8	program, certainly specific to the nature of the way
9	it was run.
10	Q Understood.
11	So this is also going to seem a little out
12	of order that we've gone through some abbreviations.
13	For the record, I'm getting clear.
14	We haven't talked about if I refer to
15	Department of Education as "DOE" or "GaDOE," you'll
16	understand I'm talking about the Georgia Department
17	of Education?
18	A Yes.
19	Q When I refer to an "LEA," I'm talking
20	about a local education agency?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And if I refer to a "RESA," I'm talking
23	about a regional education or educational service
24	agency?

Yes.

Α

1	Q And if I say "DBHDD," I'm referring to the
2	Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental
3	Disabilities?
4	A Yes.
5	Q I don't know if this will come up, but if
6	I do say DCH, that's the Department of Community
7	Health?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Thank you.
10	And there are some I would like for you
11	to tell me what you mean by them or how you
12	understand these abbreviations to work.
13	A Okay.
14	Q We've already talked about Local Facility
15	Plan. So we'll need to say LFP. That's the Local
16	Facility Plan we've already talked about?
17	A Correct.
18	Q What about FSR?
19	A Facility School Registry.
20	Q And what's that?
21	A The Facility School Registry is the
22	mechanism the Department the DOE uses to, to
23	database or warehouse information about school
24	sites, facilities, schools and programs.
25	Q And COPS?



1	A Stands for the capital outlay planning
2	software, and the capital outlay planning software
3	is the mechanism that DOE uses to collect needs
4	assessment data by district to create the statewide
5	needs assessment necessary to determine what capital
6	projects are eligible for state funds.
7	Q And those would be projects that are
8	listed in the LFPs?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Thank you.
11	OPB, that's the Government Office for
12	Planning and Budget?
13	A Correct.
14	Q And who are how about GSFIC?
15	A Georgia State Finance Investment
16	Commission, and I had really two there were two
17	divisions within GSFIC that I had direct contact
18	with. One was obviously the finance side, because
19	the planning process that was housed in this COPS
20	software became the basis for entitlement sheets
21	the basis for entitlement to school districts, which
22	became the basis for a potential application for
23	funding, which became the basis for a budget
24	recommendation to the Governor's Office, which
25	became the basis for a signed budget, which became



the basis for the bond sale that would happen subsequent to that to fund those approved projects.

Q I'm trying to kind of ask this in the real world.

Is it -- how much of the needs would you say were addressed by the ultimate bond that we're -- needs identified in the plans and requested, how much of that would often be allocated in the final budget?

Were those needs fully met or partially?

A Well, I hate to keep saying this, but it depends, and let me see if I can just give you sort of a streamlined idea.

With 180 school systems in the state, the purpose of the plan -- the purpose of the plan process -- well, it had multiple purposes, but for purpose of this discussion, the purpose of the plan was to collect needs assessments -- needs assessment data, and those needs would be identified as needs that were eligible for state funds and needs that were not.

And the legislature contemplated in the law that districts would collect both sets of information in that Local Facility Plan because from time to time the legislature wanted to understand



what is the relationship between state funding and local funding, because none of those projects were ever funded fully. It was always some portion of the actual cost.

And, and that was really by design, not so much in the law. The law gave the department the authority to make these guidelines and rules, and they did, and decisions were made long before I got, I got involved, that there wasn't enough money to pay for everything, so it would be a portion of what the actual costs were based on formulas that are in State Board rule and get updated from time to time.

So, so -- so if you think about it in that context, the need for 180 school systems that was eligible for state funding in a given year might be in the hundreds of billions of dollars, and what got actually funded depended on -- depended on what systems actually applied for in a particular fiscal year, you know, and prior to the upcoming fiscal year.

As you can imagine, most school districts wouldn't have enough local money to go with the state funds to get to all of the projects in the plan, so they had to pick and choose and prioritize and do them over time.



_										
1	So, so I don't really know how to quantify									
2	a percentage of every year how much of the budget									
3	met what percentage of the statewide need, but I									
4	think I would answer or I would say this. I									
5	don't know if it answers your question or not.									
6	But in my time at the department I don't									
7	remember a time that a local district applied for a									
8	project for which they were eligible, we put it into									
9	a budget, and it didn't get, it didn't get funded.									
10	Q That's helpful but even if it was funded,									
11	they would still the local district would have to									
12	match some of the funds									
13	A Yes.									
14	Q or provide some of the funds									
15	themselves?									
16	A Yes, that's correct.									
17	Q And when you talk about eligible for state									
18	funding, what kinds of things are not eligible for									
19	state funding?									
20	A Generally site work, which would include									
21	repaving parking lots and, again, they're kind of									
22	two categories of ways to think about it.									
23	When you think about a new school, site									
24	work is everything from, you know, grading to									
25	landscaping, but in an existing facility, a district									



1	might have a need to repave a parking lot, for								
2	example. And we would consider that site work and								
3	not eligible for state funds.								
4	Sometimes you know, sometimes a								
5	district might put in their plan that they needed to								
6	do site work for drainage. There was some drainage								
7	issues and they needed to get a site contractor								
8	on-site to do some grading and take care of standing								
9	water, whatever, runoff. Those kinds of that's								
10	the kind of site work that was not eligible for								
11	state funding.								
12	Q And do I understand it correctly that site								
13	work means as opposed to facility work? Like if								
14	sort of the physical property, the land, instead of								
15	the building?								
16	A Correct.								
17	Q Okay. Thank you.								
18	Okay. One last acronym not acronym.								
19	Abbreviation. I don't know if you say it by letter								
20	or say it, but S-P-L-O-S-T.								
21	A SPLOST.								
22	Q SPLOST. Okay.								
23	A Yes.								
24	Q Do I understand that to be the Special								
25	Purpose Local Options Sales Tax?								



1	A Yes.								
2	Q And E-SPLOST is one for education?								
3	A Correct.								
4	Q And can you tell us a little bit about how								
5	that works?								
6	A Sure. So state law allows for every,								
7	every district to place on a ballot a question								
8	related to whether the tax the voters of that								
9	district will impose a one cent sales tax for								
10	education, and the constitution of the State of								
11	Georgia enumerates what the what that tax is								
12	eligible to fund.								
13	From my perspective, relative to my work								
14	at the Department, one of those things it can fund								
15	is capital projects. So, in a nutshell, the								
16	E-SPLOST was really the means by which most school								
17	systems had money had local money to get to the								
18	state funds to which they were entitled.								
19	Q So when a district is entitled to state								
20	funds but they need local funds to cover the								
21	difference, they could raise that money through an								
22	E-SPLOST?								
23	A They could.								
24	Q If they got the voters to approve the tax								
25	increase?								



1	A That's right.							
2	Q Thank you. Okay.							
3	And then we've kind of touched on some of							
4	these but I want to again clarify your terminology							
5	here.							
6	When we talk about school districts or							
7	LEA, we're talking about the county or city							
8	overseeing public education in that region; is that							
9	correct?							
10	A Yes.							
11	Q And the site, you just clarified, that's							
12	the geographical location, the real property itself,							
13	the land itself?							
14	A Yes.							
15	Q The facility is on the site, may consist							
16	of more than one building?							
17	A Yes.							
18	Q So the term "school" could refer to one							
19	building and the site it's on, or a facility with							
20	multiple buildings on the site?							
21	Would that be encompassed by the word							
22	"school"?							
23	A Yeah. Let me frame it differently.							
24	Q Thank you.							
25	A For purposes of the way we use the term							

1	"facility" and "school" and capital outlay," the
2	facility is the, the physical building or collection
3	of buildings, okay.
4	The school represents a, a set of students
5	based on definitions that might be created by the
6	school system.
7	Here's an example. We may have a this
8	would happen most likely in a smaller school system.
9	You may have a facility called ABC Middle High
10	Facility. It's a collection of buildings on a site,
11	and inside that facility, which might have a single
12	facility code, there might be two schools open, ABC
13	High School and ABC Middle School. And those two
14	schools have separate codes. And that coding system
15	is, as I understand it, how the funds flowed back to
16	back to the district based on how students are
17	coded in those school settings.
18	Q So when you gave the example of middle
19	school and a high school in the same facility, would
20	those the codes associated with them be referred
21	to as program codes?
22	Is that what the program codes mean or

Is that what the program codes mean or something different than a school code?

A That's something different than a school code.



23

24

And, again, I want to -- I want to preface 1 2 what I say by telling you that I have a general -if you talked to me about facility codes, school 3 4 code, program code, I certainly understand -- I mean I certainly have intimate knowledge of what those 5 codes are intended to do. And at the -- the school 6 7 code identifies a group of students that, that have 8 a, that have a common structure. 9 So part of this has to do with -- and I 10 don't -- this is way out of my -- out of my deep 11 understanding, but this really has to do with 12 accountability in a sense that whatever 13 accountability structure is in place now, or the one 14 that started 20 years ago, whenever we first started 15 thinking it might be good to see how kids are doing, 16 or measure it in some way, you had to have a way to 17 identify the kids. So school code became the way 18 you could say this is how this group of kids is 19 performing relative to other school codes. 20 Well, from time to time school systems, 21 through local board of directors, administrators, 22 that collective -- their collective wisdom, would,

would want to create a program that is really a

subset of maybe one school or multiple schools

trying to, again, engage students in some fashion.



23

24

1	You know, whatever it might be. So that you might								
2	bring kids from several school locations into a								
3	program, work with them in some special or targeted								
4	assistance, maybe that's the way to say it, but at								
5	the end of the day each one of those students								
6	carried a school code into that program.								
7	And so for accountability purposes, when								
8	it came time to measure them, they went their								
9	measurement went back to a school.								
10	Q Okay. So when you spoke earlier of your								
11	experience, there was an alternative school and the								
12	off-grade level program and the GNETS program, those								
13	are three different programs within a shared								
14	facility or a a shared facility?								
15	A Yeah. Well, okay. I want to try to								
16	remember remember that was a long time ago now.								
17	What I think I remember is that in that								
18	situation, which would have been again the late								
19	1980s, the kids that were in an alternative								
20	environment for, for disruptive behavior, and the								
21	kids who were in that environment because they were								
22	behind grade level represented the school code. And								
23	that, and that that those two groups of kids								
24	had a school code. I don't know what it was,								
25	couldn't possibly remember that.								



1	But that in that way the accountability									
2	didn't measure those kids back at a home school,									
3	even though they came from one. It measured them at									
4	that location, at that school.									
5	The GNETS program at that time was a									
6	program, and those kids this is my memory and									
7	those kids would have been they would have									
8	carried school codes with them that went back to									
9	home schools, and not the school code that was									
10	associated with the and I'm calling the									
11	alternative school population.									
12	Q Okay. So, so some things that might look									
13	like programs are in fact schools, and these									
14	children will have students will have school									
15	codes associated with them there, and other things									
16	that look like programs are in fact separate service									
17	delivery systems, and then the students would have									
18	their still school codes associated with them while									
19	they're served in that program?									
20	A I think that's right.									
21	Q Okay. Thank you.									
22	I think that's all my questions on that.									
23	I just encourage you to correct me if I use a term									
24	in a way that makes it not make sense to you, the									

way I'm trying to ask the question.

1	All right. Get to the substance.									
2	Are you familiar with the GNETS strategic									
3	plan?									
4	A I'm not familiar with it, no. I know it									
5	exists, but I haven't looked at it, never I									
6	didn't have a role to play in creating it.									
7	Q Does the Department of Education have a									
8	role to play in it?									
9	A Again, I just I don't have any specific									
10	knowledge of other than the fact that I know that									
11	was, as I was transitioning out of my role as a									
12	director into a field position and then ultimately									
13	retiring, I know that was something that was being									
14	worked on, but and I had heard reference to it,									
15	but I didn't have any direct involvement in, in it.									
16	Q Okay. Then are you familiar with the									
17	Facilities Conditions Assessment Project?									
18	A Yes.									
19	Q Could you describe to me generally what									
20	that entailed?									
21	A Okay. So I was the director when the									
22	Governor's Office put the \$14 million into the									
23	budget for, for GNETS facilities. And it was									
24	when the budget was passed, my boss, who was the CFO									
25	at the time, came down and said, okay, I don't know									



1 where this money came from or what we're supposed to 2 do with it but you got to figure it out. 3 And, look, it's okay. I mean Okay. 4 that's -- so one of the things -- and this was, this 5 was me. 6 We do -- before we give out money to 7 school systems, we require them to create a needs --8 to hire an architect and do a needs assessment. 9 Well, I don't care whether they hire them or not. 10 The architect will do it for free but you got to 11 have an architect to do a needs assessment for the 12 things that are going in your plan. 13 We had -- and these numbers might not be 14 exactly right, but they are from my memory. 15 were 46 different locations, based on a list I was 16 given from the program staff, that said, here's 17 where all these kids are located. These are 18 addresses. 19 And some of them are at schools that in a 20 Local Facility Plan, some of them are at -- well, 21 let me -- again, in my world it's easy to use school 22 and facilities interchangeably, but they are really 23 Some of these students were at different. 24 facilities, in the facility plan. Some of these

students might have been in facilities that were not



1	in a facility plan, and some were in phased-out
2	facilities, and some were in so, so, it just
3	occurred to me the first thing we needed was a needs
4	assessment.

So I asked for permission to use a portion of that 14 million to engage an architectural firm that would conduct these needs assessments. And I got that permission. I went through the selection process, and we hired a firm.

And in that summer -- you'd have to tell me the year. If you tell me the year, I'd say you're right because I don't remember the years. But in the summer of that year the firm that we hired did what we would call a non-destructive site evaluation of each facility, each one of those facilities that was on the list, provided to us by the program staff.

They had two or three teams; divided the State up into quandrants. You know, one team went here, one team went there. And that function went on all summer.

As a kick-off to that, we made a -- we made the decision to -- myself and -- I know I was involved. Some of the program staff at GNETS was involved in this. And then representatives from --



1	the team from the architectural firm that we hired,									
2	they put together a they were going to do									
3	again, if I remember correctly, they were going to									
4	do these site visits in about in clusters, based									
5	on where they were located, just for efficiency.									
6	And so we created kind of a test case of									
7	three or four sites that we would go look at									
8	together. They would do their work. We would be									
9	there watching it, looking at it, giving feedback,									
10	say look at this, don't make sure you look at									
11	that. They would say we can't look at this but we									
12	can look at that.									
13	And that became sort of a baseline from									
14	what the teams would do moving forward, to visit the									
15	remaining sites, and that function went on during									
16	the summer.									
17	And in some time in the mid to late July									
18	time frame, I think we got a draft report from, from									
19	the architect.									
20	Q Thank you very much for that overview.									
21	I want to back up to a few things you									
22	said.									
23	You said you received a list of 46									

locations from program staff. Could you tell me who



program staff are?

24

## MICHAEL D. ROWLAND UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

June 09, 2022 51

At that time, the director was Nakeba 1 2 Rahming. 3 And so she's program staff with what 0 office? 4 5 Α I don't really know what the office name 6 was at the Department, but --7 She was in the Department of Education? Q 8 Α Yeah, she was a Department of Education 9 employee, correct. 10 Okay. And when you said nondestructive 11 assessment, from what I've seen, I understand that 12 to mean, and correct me if this is wrong, that means 13 they weren't checking for mold or hazardous 14 materials? Nothing that would have to sort of look 15 behind a wall or something, just what was visible by 16 17 I think the -- I think looking at what's Α 18 visible, I mean you can see mold. So you might, you 19 might see mold. If you could see it, you could 20 document it. But if it meant, you know, taking off 21 a wall panel or pulling back part of the roof, then 22 that was not done. It was pretty much a visual 23 inspection whatever you could see. 24 I think we're talking over each Okay. 0 25 other, so I'll try to wait more.



1	A I'm sorry.								
2	Q I'll ask you to do that.								
3	So that's a better way to phrase then. So								
4	it was just what they they reported what they								
5	would see visually without taking anything apart or								
6	looking inside of anything?								
7	A Yes.								
8	Q All right. And when you said you did a								
9	kick-off session yourself and some GNETS staff and								
LO	architectural firm went to test sites, how were the								
11	test sites identified?								
12	A I think just a random. The, the places we								
13	went were in Southeast Georgia, and there was no								
L4	specific reason for that other than we had to pick								
15	somewhere and that's where we picked.								
16	Q Okay. What was the role there was an								
L7	OPB review as well. Where did that fall in the								
18	sequence?								
L9	A That was again, I was not involved in								
20	that and I only became aware of it when I knew that								

So it was prior to, prior to the, the money in the budget for the grant and the facility needs assessment.

the Governor had set aside the money for the grant.

Q So their review was first, and then your



21

22

23

24

team's review, and then the architectural review?

A Well, their -- as I understand it, OPB review was prior to the Department's review, which was really a -- there wasn't a separate -- well, I think you did say that right.

There was a -- again, I remember now that we're talking about it a little bit. Prior to sending the architects out and us, myself and I think Nakeba was on that team as well, going to Southeast Georgia and doing this test run, we did send our field consultants out to these facilities with a document created by the architect that said, look, just put your eyes on this spot.

These guys are not facility condition assessment specialists; they're former educators like I am, but with an awful lot of experience of doing what we do. And so the first -- kind of the first draft was, take this checklist and just go through the school and give us a very high level, check-it-off-type thing, so that we can then give that information to the architects, and they can use that in informing their decisions about how they, how they move forward with the assessments.

So, so there was an OPB function and kind of a department intermediate function, and then



1 this, what I guess would be a more formal exercise
2 by the -- by the architects.

Q Do you recall receiving any assessments or evaluations from OPB that would guide like which ones they thought were in critical condition before you began your review?

A I don't remember that. I do remember having a meeting with the individual who was -- who had led that effort. I don't remember her name.

It was -- and I'm not even sure I actually saw the physical report, but I do know that she came to my office and sat down and told me what they had done, but I didn't keep any kind of record of, of what she, what she said or identified, and I just don't -- I didn't look at that. I didn't get that information.

Q Okay. And what was -- what was the overall objective of completing the facilities conditions assessment?

You told us sort of the origin. What was the objective?

A Well, I think the objective was to create this needs assessment so that -- and very similar to what we do with K-12 capital outlay. We have a needs assessment, now we're going to offer you an



opportunity as a GNETS program to apply for funds to 1 2 meet some of the needs in this -- assess some or all 3 of the needs in this assessment, and that was what I mean that was the basis for it. 4 it was for. 5 So were the facilities that were assessed only those that were eligible for grant funding, or 6 7 were all the GNETS facilities assessed? 8 Α All of them. 9 0 All of them? 10 Α Everything that was on the -- this is my 11 Every location that the GNETS staff gave us 12 a physical address for was in that facility 13 conditions assessment. 14 And you gave an estimate before. 15 remember about how many facilities then were on the 16 final list that were inspected? 17 Α Forty-six. Or that's my memory. 18 You said before that you learned about 0 19 this grant and you thought the first thing that 20 needed to happen was a facilities assessment to 21 parallel the work in the other schools, and you 22 asked for permission to use part of that money to do

Who granted that permission?

A OPB ultimately.



that assessment.

23

24

1	Ç	) OPE	B. So	that's	the	Governor's	office?

- A Yeah. The -- I worked for the CFO, and basically get my direction from him. So when I say OPB, I don't really know that for sure, but I asked him -- it was my assumption he went and asked OPB if it was okay to use the money they appropriated, some portion of it for that purpose, and got permission to do that.
- 9 0 0kay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

- 10 A So I kind of filled in some gaps there, I
  11 think, just assuming that makes sense it would have
  12 worked that way.
- Q And who else from the Department of Education participated in the site visits with you?
  - A The only, the only site visit that I participated in was the test site, the test visits to the ones in Southeast Georgia.
- 18 Q Okay.
- A And I believe that -- I'm reasonably
  certain the field consultant from that area
  participated in that, in that visit.
- Q Along with you and Nakeba?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Do you know if anybody from the Department of Education went on any of the other --



1	MS. TAYLOE: Well, let me rephrase that.
2	Q So the field consultants went on the
3	intermediate round of facilities visits. Do you
4	know if anybody from the Department of Education
5	went with the architecture's round of assessments?
6	A I don't believe so, no.
7	Q Do you remember what the results were of
8	the preliminary assessment you said you received in
9	the summer? What happened when you got the
10	preliminary report?
11	A There were, there were a number of
12	facilities that the report documented both in
13	narrative form and with supporting pictures that
14	were in very bad condition.
15	And so we shared that information with the
16	State Board of Education.
17	Q And what did they do with that
18	information?
19	A The, the ultimately, the State Board
20	chair, if I remember this correctly, wrote a letter
21	to those I'm going to say programs. Now, you
22	know, if RESAs were the agencies, then you can say
23	that was RESA, but superintendents are on those
24	boards of control. So the superintendents really
	1

indirectly or directly were the target of the



1 information.

And it was my understanding -- or my memory -- and I saw the letter. Probably -- I just don't remember the specifics of it, other than I think it was aimed at trying to say those districts, you've got some real issues and we're giving you an opportunity to fix them.

To the extent that the State Board had whatever authority it had -- which really is outside of my purview. I don't really know ultimately what authority they had, but I do know the letter went out from the State Board chair that said we've gotten information that says this isn't very good, we need you to do something about it.

Q And was your understanding that the State communicated to the superintendents that the Department of Education would no longer support students being housed in those facilities?

A I don't really know how -- I think, I think -- I think certainly the intent of the letter was to force a conversation on these superintendents that hopefully would help them come to their Own conclusion about the inadequacy of where they housed these kids and make decisions without having to be force into anything.

1	And my memory is that that, that work
2	that was typical. That was in general what
3	happened. Now, that didn't mean I didn't have to go
4	sit down with some of them and walk through what
5	some of their operations were, but and we did
6	that at their request.
7	So I think the intent of the letter was
8	certainly to, to heighten the sense of urgency with
9	these superintendents over how they were housing
10	these over where they were housing these
11	populations.
12	Q So it's your recollection that none of the
13	superintendents or school districts felt they were
14	instructed to close facilities?
15	MR. PICO PRATS: Objection in terms of
16	there's a legal conclusion or any type of
17	knowledge of legal fields, that's outside of
18	his knowledge.
19	MS. TAYLOE: Okay. I'll address that
20	through documents then.
21	Let me I'm going to start with what was
22	previously marked as Exhibit 86.
23	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-86 was
24	marked for identification.)



1	BY	MS.	TAYLOE:

3

4

5

8

9

- Q I'm referring to the exhibit that has been produced by the State. It's marked GA00196569, and it is an email from Mr. Rowland to Ted Beck, dated December 1st, 2015.
- Are you familiar with this document?
- 7 A Yes, ma'am.
  - Q Do you see at the beginning of the second paragraph where you're talking about narrowing the list of facilities to be visited by our consultants?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q What was the basis for narrowing the list?
- A Yeah, I think in reading on down, what I
  think I'm referring to is the fact that if we had -and we've talked about this earlier.
- If we had, if we had knowledge that GNETS students were being served in a facility that was already in the Local Facility Plan, then that facility had a way of earning state funds for capital improvements.
- 21 So we wouldn't look -- those, those -- 22 those wouldn't be reviewed.
- But these other facilities that were not in Local Facility Plans would.
- 25 Q So maybe I misunderstood. When I asked if



## MICHAEL D. ROWLAND UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

June 09, 2022

1	you were looking at all of the facilities or only
2	those for that were eligible for state grants,
3	you said all of them. Did I misphrase
4	A So in the sense of that statement, when
5	a school district applies for funding for a facility
6	that's in their local facility grant, plan, that is
7	a state grant for facilities, because if it's
8	funded, those funds are so, so in the in the
9	true sense of this, the definition of a state grant,
10	if, if let's say a GNETS program was housed in a
11	facility that was in the Local Facility Plan and
12	that summer the district applied for a new roof for
13	that school, well, that's a state grant to that, and
14	it was funded.
15	Q Okay.
16	A Then that's a state grant.
17	Q I understand the distinction. So let me
18	rephrase the question.
19	So was this facilities condition
20	assessment you looked at all GNETS facilities or
21	only those GNETS facilities that would be eligible
22	for the 14 million specific facilities grant
23	A Yes.
24	Q that was at issue?
25	A I think that's correct. What we were



1	trying to do is target just the facilities that
2	would be eligible for applying for the grant money,
3	because the grant, as I understood it, it was
4	intended to be competitive.
5	We wanted it to be based on this need
6	assessment. So you at least have to apply for
7	things that have been identified as needs, and if
8	you already have a facility in a Local Facility
9	Plan, there's a mechanism for that already
10	established through department policy.
11	But this other was any facility that fell
12	outside of that.
13	Q Okay. So there could be some facilities
14	that might have been disrepair but otherwise
15	needing, needing repairs that might not have been
16	assessed because they were already covered by an
17	LFP?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q Okay. And then other questions on this,
20	this email was copied to Clara Keith and Deborah
21	Gay.
22	Can you tell me who they are?
23	A Debbie Gay at the time, if I remember
24	correctly, she was I don't remember her title but
25	she was over special education programs at the



1 department.

And I think Clara worked in our Policy
Division. Honestly, I really don't know what role
she played at DOE, other than -- and I know there
was a time when she had retired and might have been
actually working for another state agency. I just
don't -- I don't remember her specific connection by
state agency to the exercise, other than she was a
part of the team.

Q Okay. So I know some part of her employment she was employed by DBHDD.

A Yeah, and that's what I'm kind of -- so when I first went to the Department, she worked in the Department. And I just don't know what division she was in, and I knew Clara as a colleague because we would attend meetings, not related to GNETS in any way, but I just didn't -- I don't really know what she did.

Perhaps by the time she was involved in this, she was -- she was working for the other agency. I just don't know the answer to that.

Q So you asked her to work with Debbie. In the third paragraph, it talks about you asked her to work with Debbie and her team to develop the application to be used to award facility grants.



1	Was that in your connection with her as a
2	colleague or was that her role
3	A Whatever role she was playing.
4	Q Whatever role. Thank you.
5	The last part on this document, it talks
6	about the GSFIC is working on the RFQ. The RFQ is
7	request for qualifications for design professional,
8	and that is the process you talked about before,
9	about getting the architectural team on board to do
10	the assessments?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And you already made reference to the
13	checklist. So I just want to make sure I'm
14	understanding your reference. Is this the checklist
15	where scores were rated, different categories were
16	rated from one to five? You know, from poor to like
17	new, or critical to like new?
18	A I believe so.
19	Q And we can talk about the other later.
20	Okay.
21	Then next may I ask momentarily to see
22	the full version of the document you have because
23	yours has attachments?
24	Thank you.
25	Since this was previously marked as



1	Exhibit 86, I would like to note that the document
2	produced by the State at GA00196572 is attached as
3	an exhibit to this document. I ask, did you see if
4	that's the correct list you've been talking about?
5	It's not the first attachment. It's
6	behind the blue sheet of paper.
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. Thank you.
9	So that's the document that field
10	consultants used when they went out to the different
11	facilities to do assessments?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Is that also a document that the
14	architectural team used when they went out to do
15	assessments?
16	A I really don't remember I would my
17	memory is that their whatever documents they used
18	to do those assessments had different detail, but I
19	just don't remember.
20	I mean if you showed it to me and said
21	this is what they used, I certainly would agree. I
22	wouldn't have a way not to agree with that, but I
23	don't think it was this exact document. It could
24	have been but I don't remember it that well.
25	Q Okay. Thank you.



Okay. Thank you.

1		MS. TAYLOE: Then I'm going to		
2	BY MS. TAYLOE:			
3	Q	Were there any facilities that did not		
4	want to ha	ave I'm sorry.		
5		Were there any superintendents or school		
6	districts	that did not want to have their facilities		
7	assessed?			
8	A	I'm not aware of that, no.		
9		I'm not saying that I really don't I		
10	don't reme	ember having any trouble getting the		
11	assessment	s done.		
12	Q	I know it was a long time ago.		
13		MS. TAYLOE: I'm going to provide the		
14	court	reporter document GA00196767, and this		
15	will	be Exhibit No. 115.		
16		(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-115 was		
17	mar}	ked for identification.)		
18	BY MS. TAY	YLOE:		
19	Q	This is an email from Mr. Rowland to Doug		
20	Suits, dat	ted January 7th, 2016.		
21		Are you familiar with this document?		
22	A	Yes. So I stand corrected.		
23		I mean I again, if you showed me that		
24	first and	asked me the question, I'd say, yep, that		
25	happened.	But, you know, being able to remember		



1	that	one	specific	just,	just	couldn't	do	it.
---	------	-----	----------	-------	------	----------	----	-----

- Q I don't mean to trick you. I was just hoping to avoid having to do so many documents, and what we can do by memory, we can just do it that way.
- A You're talking about one instance out of I don't know how many emails that went back and forth. But obviously seeing that I'm reminded of the situation. But I think you also see that that no was really not an option.
- Q So the reason I'm curious about this is, again, trying to figure out the purpose of the facility assessment, since it was really about who was eligible for grants.

You see the email you're responding to indicates that the director of the program in Cobb County did not want to make an application for funding and yet they were instructed they had to have the facility visit anyway, and I'm just curious about why that was?

A Even though -- even though I knew the ultimate purpose of the exercise was to create this basis for which GNETS program would apply for funds, whether this was something I determined on my own or felt like I had gotten direction on, it never



1	occurred to me that not going through the needs
2	assessment was an option. You could go through the
3	needs assessment, and the needs assessment could
4	determine you've got the Taj Mahal, but you're going
5	through these
6	Q Is it fair to say you just thought it was
7	important for the state to know the condition of the
8	assessments whether or not
9	A Exactly.
10	THE COURT REPORTER: Say it again.
11	A My apologies.
12	Q Is it fair to say you thought it was
13	important for the State to know the condition of the
14	facilities whether or not they were planning on
15	applying for a grant?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Thank you.
18	MS. TAYLOE: Now I'm going to refer to
19	what was previously identified as Plaintiff's
20	Exhibit 46.
21	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-46 was
22	marked for identification.)
23	MS. TAYLOE: I have an extra copy but we
24	can use the same number for it.
25	



1	BY MS. TAYLOE:
2	Q This is a document produced by the State,
3	GA00196789.
4	It is an email from Mr. Rowland to Clara
5	Keith, dated January 19th, 2016.
6	Are you familiar with this document, Mr.
7	Rowland?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Do you see in the first paragraph, first
10	sentence says: "Below are GNETS facilities where my
11	staff have identified immediate concerns thus far,"
12	and it looks like it says, "They will be others, I'm
13	sure."
14	I assume it means "there will be others."
15	Right?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Would you tell me on what basis the
18	immediate concerns were identified?
19	A The checklist, that the, that the
20	consultants used on that initial visit.
21	Q And this is dated January of 2016. They
22	had completed the initial visits that early?
23	A I mean I can't dispute that. It may have
24	been. I just don't remember the timing, but
25	apparently and I typically, what would have



1	again, I don't remember the timing at all, but this
2	looks like it would have been consistent with that
3	first exercise of sending the consultants out with
4	the checklist and having them report back. Again,
5	you know, here's the list but here's three of them
6	that we see, that we got, that's a problem. That's
7	a problem.
8	Q Do you remember what was specifically
9	concerning about these three programs that were
10	identified as immediate concerns?
11	A I don't. Not without documentation. I
12	just don't.
13	Q Okay. And why did you say "there will be
14	others, I'm sure"?
15	A Just because I didn't have a complete I
16	just didn't have a complete list at that point.
17	Q Do you know what was done with this
18	information about these three facilities?
19	A No, I don't.
20	MS. TAYLOE: We've been going for an hour
21	and forty minutes. Would you like to take a
22	break?
23	THE WITNESS: Sure.
24	MS. TAYLOE: Take a break.
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:39



1	a.m.
2	(A recess was taken.)
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
4	record at 11:10 a.m.
5	MS. TAYLOE: I have a correction to make.
6	The document I previously identified as having
7	been previously introduced as an exhibit was
8	incorrect.
9	So if we could mark the same document
10	GA00196789 as a new exhibit. I believe that
11	will be 116.
12	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-116 was
13	marked for identification.)
14	MS. TAYLOE: I apologize for my error.
15	So I just wanted to renumber that for the
16	record.
17	BY MS. TAYLOE:
18	Q You said, Mr. Rowland, you wouldn't be
19	able to recall from that long ago what the issues
20	were at those facilities without looking at the
21	document.
22	MS. TAYLOE: So I would like to introduce
23	State-produced GA00196790 to be marked as
24	Exhibit 117.
25	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-117 was



1	marked for identification.)
2	BY MS. TAYLOE:
3	Q Are you familiar with that document, Mr.
4	Rowland?
5	(Witness reviews exhibits.)
6	Q What is that document?
7	A This is the Facility Condition Assessment
8	Checklist completed by the field consultant for the
9	Cedarwoods program at 98 Barnes Street, Baxley
10	Georgia, in Appling County, by Leonard McCoy.
11	Q Thank you.
12	Do you see where this document describes
13	the building I'm sorry the facility as a 1954
14	building with no major renovation in the past that
15	is evident?
16	That's in the comments on Page 4.
17	A Yes.
18	Q Do you see it says it's a 60-year-old
19	building that shows the wear and tear of its age and
20	it was constructed by prisoners?
21	That's in the Comments on Page 5.
22	I'm sorry, that's not the right page.
23	Page 6.
24	A Yes.
25	Q Do you see, I believe on Page 10, it talks



1	about some toilets are missing doors and there is no
2	significant separation from the classrooms?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And on Page 11, in the Comments, it shows
5	that breakfast is satellited in and eaten in
6	classrooms, and that the students are transported to
7	high school for lunch?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Are those the kinds of things you think
10	raise the kind of concerns in the email that you
11	that was previously admitted as an exhibit?
12	A I would think so, yes.
13	Q Previously, Exhibit 116, that was the
14	email?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Does that refresh your recollection as to
17	what was done in response to these concerns being
18	raised?
19	A Well, I think this was certainly I mean
20	this what I remember, this exercise was the
21	beginning of a process, and certainly, you know,
22	this information created a heightened awareness of
23	that particular facility, which I obviously
24	communicated to Clara, and there were obviously two
25	others that based on our preliminary review of



1	that information.
2	But, again, I don't know that at the
3	moment I don't have any recollection of at the
4	moment this was produced and at the moment that I
5	sent out an email that said, hey, here's one we
6	obviously have concerns. I don't really without
7	I'm not aware of what, if any, immediate actions
8	were taken subsequent to the completion of the
9	process.
LO	Q Okay. You said immediate. Are you aware
11	of any longer term actions taken?
12	A Well, again, that may have eventually
13	occurred at the conclusion of the process, but,
L4	really, the documentation is so distant in my
15	when I look at what you provide, I can put back the
16	chain. But I just can't remember you know, this
17	document obviously produced this email, which makes
18	sense to me, but I mean, what happened next is just
19	not fresh in my memory.
20	Q Okay. That's fine. We'll walk through it
21	with documents together then. Okay.
22	MS. TAYLOE: Next, I would like to refer
23	to what is previously introduced as Plaintiff's
24	Exhibit 89, which is GA00196895.

(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-89 was



1	previously marked for identification.)
2	BY MS. TAYLOE:
3	Q Are you familiar with this document?
4	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
5	MS. TAYLOE: Counsel, I just want to
6	notify you that his version has the
7	attachments, and your version doesn't. We can
8	share it electronically. I just want to know
9	that already has that attached.
10	MR. PICO PRATS: All right.
11	A Yes, ma'am.
12	Q This document is an email from Mr. Rowland
13	to Clara Keith, dated February 17th, 2016.
14	So, for the record, I have to ask this,
15	Mr. Rowland. You said: "It's late so I might not
16	have this correct. If this turns out not to be
17	correct, would you let me know?"
18	A Yeah.
19	Q I've been there. Believe me, I've sent
20	emails and just minutes ago made a mistake. I know
21	how that happens.
22	Okay. So it says: "The first spreadsheet
23	is the list of sites."
24	My younger and more adept colleague is
25	going to screen share for you because I cannot



1	handle that.
2	MS. TAYLOE: Should with go off the record
3	for a minute.
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 11:23
5	a.m.
6	(Discussion ensued off the record.)
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at
8	11:24 a.m.
9	BY MS. TAYLOE:
10	Q So you have a paper copy but also Ms. Lill
11	is screen sharing an electronic copy of GA00196896.
12	Can you tell us what that document is?
13	It was attached to the email that we just
14	read.
15	A It appears to be a list of GNETS programs
16	that by location, the corresponding school system
17	name, and analysis as to whether the facility at
18	that location is a facility reporting FTE in the
19	LFP.
20	Q And is this list a list of the facilities
21	that were visited by the assessment team?
22	A Again, I, I really don't know that. I
23	mean if, if you if you showed me records of
24	that corresponded, that this is a facility you said
25	the document showed was visited and there it is,



## MICHAEL D. ROWLAND UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

June 09, 2022

then I certainly agree with that, but I just have no 1 2 way. 3 I mean these were so many facilities, so 4 many years ago. 5 Or if I said that they were visited in an email. I certainly don't disagree with that. 6 7 Let's take a look at the next one then, 0 8 00196897. 9 Α Okay. 10 In your email, you say: "The second is 0 11 the list of those not visited and why." 12 Α Okay. 1.3 Can you tell me what that document is? 0 14 It's, it's a list of GNETS centers Α Yeah. 15 with the city, and the -- no, they weren't visited and the reason for why they weren't visited. 16 17 0 Thank you. 18 So does that information along with the 19 email lead you to believe that the first list is the 20 list of sites that were visited? 21 Α Yes. 22 Thank you. Q 23 (Discussion ensued off the record.) 24 You have an earlier copy of MS. GARDNER: 25 the document that was marked, right? Because



1	the hard copy document that was actually marked
2	included the printed attachments.
3	So if you all have your copies that you
4	received when we first marked it, it also has
5	the copies of as attachments.
6	(Discussion ensued off the record.)
7	MS. TAYLOE: I am going to give the court
8	reporter a document produced from the State
9	labeled GA00279624 and ask that be introduced
10	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 118.
11	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-118 was
12	marked for identification.)
13	BY MS. TAYLOE:
14	Q Are you familiar with this document?
15	A Yes.
16	Q This is an email from you to Ted Beck,
17	dated May 31st, 2016.
18	In the second paragraph you indicate that
19	you and Nakeba accompanied two teams from that
20	was 2WR, the architectural firm?
21	A Yes.
22	Q So were these the pilot visits you talked
23	about before?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Where it says: "The purpose of the trips



## MICHAEL D. ROWLAND UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

June 09, 2022

was to work through expectations and get a baseline
of GaDOE's expectations."

Can you tell me what those expectations
were?

A I don't think we knew until we went on the
visit, honestly. But what I remember about the

A I don't think we knew until we went on the visit, honestly. But what I remember about the exercise was that 2WR would go and conduct the visit per some standards that were, that were available for facility condition assessments based on architect's stuff, and that what we were really doing was just witnessing what they were doing to, to understand -- to agree they were on the right track.

I don't have any memory of saying don't do this or do that, although that very well could have happened. It was more of a -- they wanted us, you come watch what we're doing. If you see anything that you think we shouldn't be doing or should be doing, you know, just give us the feedback.

That's my memory.

Q And where you said, "I think we will glean the kind of information that will inform our decisions moving forward," what was that in reference to?

A I have no idea. I don't know. I think



1	it's exactly what I I don't know what decisions
2	there were to be made moving forward, but if there
3	were decisions to be made moving forward, this
4	facilities condition assessment was necessary to
5	inform those decisions.
6	Now, obviously, we know one of those
7	decisions was to inform future applicants for the
8	grant but short of I mean that was the purpose of
9	the exercise, was to create a basis for these
10	centers, or programs, whichever it turned out to be,
11	to be able to make an application.
12	Q So one of the purposes would have been to
13	be able to notify the facility superintendent or
14	owner of the kinds of deficiencies they might be
15	eligible to request grants to repair?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Thank you. And they might they might
18	have been used for something else, but that may or
19	may not have been known at the time?
20	A Yes.
21	MS. TAYLOE: I'm going to give the court
22	reporter a document produced by the State
23	GA00197246 and ask it be identified as
24	Plaintiff's Exhibit 119.

(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-119 was



marked for identification.) 1 2 BY MS. TAYLOE: 3 Are you familiar with this document? 0 4 (Witness reviews exhibit.) 5 Α Yes. Who is Sarah Morris? 6 0 Okay. 7 Sarah worked, and still does, in the Α 8 Facilities Department. 9 I don't -- at the time -- she was with us 10 and she left and she came back. So what I'm 11 stumbling over is I'm not really sure what role she 12 was in at the time, but I do know that -- I think by 13 this point, you know, I was giving this information 14 back to Pat Schofill, who was our director, and 15 Sarah had some role to play on the funding side. 16 She was our grants administrator in the 17 early -- at some point, and she left the department 18 and we hired her back as a grants administrator. 19 she may have had -- her role may have been in the 20 process of getting the money out to school districts 21 when -- or the entities that manage the GNETS 22 programs that were doing the work when the time 23 came. 24 Okay. And she said she's attaching the 0 25 list, GNETS list, ranked by the GaDOE Facilities



1	team.
2	A Yes.
3	Q Is that which set of consultants is
4	that? Or who is the GaDOE Facilities team?
5	A That's the field consultants that I
6	referenced earlier.
7	Q Okay. And the scale she's referencing
8	there is the same one to five scale we talked about
9	before, where one is critical and five is new or
10	like new?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Then she talked about a formula for
13	obtaining a ranking. Can you tell me what that is
14	in reference to?
15	A Not by memory, no. I mean I so much
16	this you know, I want to be clear. Nothing would
17	make me happier than to tell you everything you're
18	asking me with perfect fidelity. There was so much
19	and so much of this now I'm begin I see we
20	were doing kind of make up just do it as you
21	figure out and try to do the best thing.
22	And so I am confident we used some formula
23	to get to that ranking. Whether it was an averaging
24	or a formula on a spreadsheet or but if you
25	showed it to me. I'd say I remember that. But



1	without that, I just I don't remember what that
2	formula looked like.
3	Q Okay. I think I can I think I can find
4	one to address that.
5	MS. TAYLOE: I'm going to ask Ms. Lill to
6	screen share the attached facilities report,
7	which is GA00197248.
8	Do we need to mark that as an exhibit?
9	BY MS. TAYLOE:
10	Q Mr. Rowland, you have been granted control
11	of that. So if you want to scroll up and down on
12	that, you can.
13	Have you seen this document before?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Can you describe it, please?
16	A So the heading of the document is "GNETS
17	Facilities Not Active In Local Facility Plans."
18	So I mean this appears to me to be a list
19	of the GNETS programs that we couldn't find evidence
20	were housed in facilities that were in local
21	facility plans.
22	The cells highlighted in red, based on my
23	memory, was those locations that had a facility
24	condition assessment score of .4 or lower well,
25	lower than .4. Because .4 is not in red.



1	Q And what would be the consequence of
2	having a facility score of lower than .4?
3	A Well, so I do let me say this about the
4	score. Given the decimal that I'm seeing I think
5	this is the score that came out of the facility
6	condition assessments done by 2WR.
7	So, again, my memory is part of what we
8	have asked them to do in this process was to see if
9	they could boil this down to a facility condition
10	assessment ratio where the closer that ratio got to
11	zero, the more deficient, let's say, the building,
12	the facility would be, and the clearer it got to
13	one, the better it was.
14	And so based on this, my memory is this
15	was a spreadsheet we created not the list of
16	spaces but the score coming from their condition
17	report.
18	And, again, at this point in the process,
19	I don't think I knew the answer to what does what
20	happens to a facility that has a condition
21	assessment lower than .4, other than to say these
22	aren't very good. And then that, that begets the
23	question, all right, what happens next.

I think that's where we were in the



process at that point.

24

And you said before you believed that some 1 2 facilities were encouraged to consider options based 3 on those scores, consider remedial actions based on 4 the scores? 5 Α Yes. Okay. But is it not your understanding, 6 0 7 looking at this document, that these ones marked in 8 red were the ones that were closed by the State? 9 Α Well --10 MR. PICO PRATS: And objection for -- I 11 think it's misrepresenting what he said in 12 connection to the State closing. 13 BY MS. TAYLOE: 14 Did you understand these facilities closed 15 after the results were shared with them? 16 Α I'm looking at the list to see, and it --17 again, I wish I could tell you I remember what every 18 action was, but what I know is we shared this 19 information with the Board, State Board. 20 A letter went out to these facilities from 21 the State Board chairman, and it is my belief, my memory, that in most cases, if not all, that these 22 23 programs made different arrangements for these kids. 24 There's a, there's a meaning to the word

"closed" that I'm not comfortable with, if that



1	helps you understand my and I don't mean to be
2	vague. I just, you know the purpose of the
3	exercise to me was to say, you know, guys, look,
4	we've been through this process, nobody's out to
5	hurt anybody. We're trying to do things, what's
6	best for kids, and this has been this is the
7	situation.
8	And it is true that not many
9	superintendents liked to get phone calls from the
10	Department or letters from the State Board chair,
11	but so I know they wanted to try to do better,
12	and I think in most, if not all, of these cases they
13	did.
14	Q So maybe am I using the word "closed" has
15	been confusing because it has different connotations
16	and facilities than I was thinking of it.
17	Would you say after would you say these
18	nine facilities relocated their students after
19	the ones that were marked in red relocated their
20	students after getting those reports?
21	A Yes, I think that's fair to say.
22	MS. TAYLOE: I would like to refer to what
23	was previously introduced as Plaintiff's

I'm afraid I don't have a copy for you,



1	because
2	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-91 was
3	previously marked for identification.)
4	MS. TAYLOE: It's GA01486054.
5	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
6	BY MS. TAYLOE:
7	Q Have you had a chance to familiarize
8	yourself with this document?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Okay. This is an email from Stacey
11	Suber-Drake to Nakeba Rahming and Clara Keith, dated
12	July 25th, 2016. And it attaches a letter from the
13	Georgia State Board of Education signed by Michael
14	Royal, chairman of the State Board of Education.
15	Is that correct?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q Do you see at the end of the first
18	paragraph, where it says: "Therefore, students
19	receiving services at this facility must immediately
20	be transitioned out of the site before beginning
21	"before the beginning of the school year."
22	A Yes.
23	Q Is that consistent with your understanding
24	of the steps that were taken after the facilities
25	conditions assessment was completed?



1	A Yes.
2	Q It says: "We're directing staff to assist
3	you and provide guidance throughout this process so
4	it may provide the best educational opportunities
5	for all students in a safe and positive
6	environment."
7	You see where it says that?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Were which staff was directed to assist
10	and provide guidance to the the people these
11	letters were directed to?
12	A The facility services staff. Myself and
13	potentially field consultants that served those
14	that area.
15	Q And would you say, was that assistance in
16	the form of the GNETS grant or in terms of
17	relocating, or both, or something else?
18	A At this time, in relation to the letter,
19	it was with relocating.
20	What we required of the GNETS programs was
21	when you find a suitable location, when you find a
22	location that you propose as suitable, you contact
23	me and I go look at it, and I say yes or no.

And did you also help them find facilities

that had available instructional units that they



Q

24

1	could	consider	using	for	
---	-------	----------	-------	-----	--

- A We did point out to them in their Local Facility Plan where they had what we call unearned instructional units.
- Q So what does unearned instructional units mean?
- A Well, you earn instructional units based on a formula, based on the FTE projected for that facilities. And I'm just giving you example, making numbers up.
- You may have 750 FTE, and those FTE, based on DOE's formula, may earn 50 instructional units, which are classrooms. We call them IUs.
- When the facility was constructed, it might have been constructed with 60 IUs. So there are 10 IUs that the formula says you have -- you have fewer students than -- have more classrooms than you have students, based on this formula, that need.
- Well, if you're a high school principal, you don't have any vacant classrooms. I mean you found something to do with all these spaces, but they're not earned in the formula.
- 24 And so they're really at local expense.
  - Q That was very helpful.



1	So they are not entitled to use the space
2	based on the number of students currently enrolled,
3	and so some people might look at those spaces
4	available even if they are using the space for
5	something else because why would you not use a space
6	that you have available in a room, in a school?
7	A The only not exception, but the word I
8	would take exception to, for lack of a better way to
9	say it, is entitled. They're entitled to every
10	space they have, because they built it, they own it.
11	The formula for funding in DOE's rule says
12	that you earn spaces based on the way you earn
13	teachers in the QBE formula, the theory being that
14	every teacher that you earn should have a space.
15	But just like you have unearned spaces in
16	your facility, most school systems have unearned
17	staff for programs that are beyond what the State
18	will fund.
19	So in that regard an unearned space
20	doesn't mean that there's not a program that's being
21	taught in that space because the district has chosen
22	to fund that program locally. Teacher
23	theoretically the space, because there's no state
24	earning based on that space, which is kind of a



little complicated, too.

1	But my point is, what we try to do is go
2	back to the school systems and say, look, you have
3	we didn't have to say this. We could say it,
4	point it out but they knew it. They could look at
5	their plan and know this on their own.
6	You have schools that have unearned
7	spaces. And so obviously one of your options is to
8	pick the kids up and move them to a better space, or
9	you could just use space you already have.
10	But that's your that's where we really
11	get into what you have to do at that point.
12	MS. TAYLOE: I would like to introduce a
13	document produced by the State as GA01929308
14	and ask it be marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit
15	120.
16	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-120 was
17	marked for identification.)
18	BY MS. TAYLOE:
19	Q Let me know when you've had a chance to
20	familiarize yourself with the document.
21	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
22	A Yes.
23	Q This is an email from you to Clara Keith
24	and Nakeba Rahming and Pat Schofill, dated January
25	20th, 2017.



1	Do you see where in the first line it
2	makes reference to the final GNETS report from the
3	drop box location?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Is it consistent with your recollection
6	that this would be the time when the architects
7	the 2WR final report was prepared?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And would that be the report that was
10	located in the drop box?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Can you describe what, what the was the
13	drop box a file sharing system or what was that?
14	A They provided what I remember is that
15	they provided the file in an aggregate format. In
16	other words, as one report with all of these numbers
17	of facilities, which became many, many pages,
18	hundreds of pages. And so we used the drop box
19	because the file was too large to share any other
20	way.
21	Q That makes sense.
22	It says here you're in the process of
23	disaggregating it so you can send each report to
24	each facility as appropriate?
25	A That's right.



1	Q I also saw reference to a U-Drive. Do you
2	know what the U-Drive is?
3	A Yes. You asked me what it is?
4	Q What's the U-Drive, please?
5	You're well coached.
6	A It's a shared drive at the Department of
7	Education where we housed shared facilities files.
8	MS. TAYLOE: I just wanted to note to
9	counsel we've seen references to this final
10	report in a drop box in U-Drive, but I have not
11	been able to locate it in the documents that
12	have been produced to us.
13	So perhaps we could be directed to it. If
14	not, I would ask that it be production be
15	supplemented so we can see the final report.
16	It might be we just can't we can't find
17	it.
18	I'm about to start on a new section. I'm
19	happy to keep going but depending on the time
20	we set for lunch, perhaps it's better we stop
21	now.
22	MS. LILL: It's not here yet. It should
23	be here in the next 15 to 20 minutes.
24	MS. TAYLOE: We can just start then and
25	take a break.



1	BY MS. TAYLOE:
2	Q Are you okay going a few more?
3	A Absolutely.
4	Q I want to talk a little bit about the
5	facilities remediation plan next that came after the
6	facilities condition assessment. Is that correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Can you tell me just give me an
9	overview what the facilities remediation plan was?
10	A Yeah, I had really forgotten about that.
11	Again, what I remember was that and I
12	wish I could tell you that we started this process
13	knowing how it would this was, this was really
14	like building an airplane while you fly.
15	And so at some point as a team and
16	there was I don't want to mislead you I was
17	making these decisions in a vacuum. I was obviously
18	working with either Pat Schofill, maybe our
19	facilities consultants, Clara, Nakeba, and Stacey
20	and all these people to think about.
21	So we have this report now that says it
22	kind of had layers. Layer one the first, most
23	immediate thing was we found these facilities that
24	were that needed immediate that needed
25	immediate attention, needed to be attended to



1	immediately.	And	that	took	place.
---	--------------	-----	------	------	--------

Then we had a report that said here's a condition of the facilities that remain, and when we release the application for funding, you should be applying for needs that have been identified in the, in the plan -- or in the facility condition assessments.

Understand that in the facilities world, \$14 million is not a lot of money. So intuitively we knew it wasn't enough money to meet all the needs that had been identified in the condition assessments, but we took the position as a department that that didn't absolve the programs from developing plans to remediate those needs.

Again, very much in keeping with the K-12 focus on you have a Local Facility Plan. You can't get to everything in it in one year, we know that, but that doesn't mean you shouldn't be -- you shouldn't be planning to meet those needs.

So, so -- so part of the requirement was now that we've done this work, you can't just say, well, we want to apply for the money, so we're off the hook. No. You have a report and it shows deficiencies -- needs, not deficiencies. It shows needs. We want to know how you're going to address



1   those needs
-----------------

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

- Q So would it be fair to say that the options available to facilities after receiving the facilities condition assessment report included relocating the students or submitting a plan to make corrections, addressing needs with or without state grant funds?
- 8 A Yes.
  - Q And if the facility operators chose not to continue to serve the students in that facility, were they required to provide you with an exit strategy?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And what would that exit strategy entail?
- 15 A It would be different for every situation.
- 16 Again, I think what our -- it's really hard to
- 17 remember the thinking at the moment, but based on
- 18 | the way I know myself, what I would -- what I think
- 19 | we were looking for is, look, you give us -- one of
- 20 | the things I want to make sure you understand. This
- 21 | is an awful lot of work, and at some point I thought
- 22 | it was all my work to do. By me, I mean the
- 23 Department.
- So what I wanted to do is give this work
- 25 back to the people at the ground floor who ought to



1	know how to look after their kids better than
2	anybody else, and the way to do that is to say you
3	give me the strategy again, me meaning the
4	Department give us the strategy and then we'll
5	react to it.
6	So it wasn't and my, my belief is that
7	ranged anywhere from that's an excellent strategy to
8	that's okay but here are some here's some
9	feedback like to see you address, and all the way
10	down to that's not going to work, got to have
11	another one.
1 0	And I just I don't remember
12	
13	specifically I mean if you showed me a document
	_
13	specifically I mean if you showed me a document
13 14 15	specifically I mean if you showed me a document and said here's one that was submitted, I could look
13 14 15 16	specifically I mean if you showed me a document and said here's one that was submitted, I could look at it and say, yeah, that makes sense.
13 14	specifically I mean if you showed me a document and said here's one that was submitted, I could look at it and say, yeah, that makes sense.  But, but I think we as a Department felt
13 14 15 16	specifically I mean if you showed me a document and said here's one that was submitted, I could look at it and say, yeah, that makes sense.  But, but I think we as a Department felt like while we may have started out trying to get a
13 14 15 16 17	specifically I mean if you showed me a document and said here's one that was submitted, I could look at it and say, yeah, that makes sense.  But, but I think we as a Department felt like while we may have started out trying to get a condition assessment so that we could figure out how
13 14 15 16 17 18	specifically I mean if you showed me a document and said here's one that was submitted, I could look at it and say, yeah, that makes sense.  But, but I think we as a Department felt like while we may have started out trying to get a condition assessment so that we could figure out how to competitively award a pot of money, it really

some documents with you to address specific

examples, but as part of that overview, after

facilities either applied for a grant or proposed



23

24

their own internal repairs, did your office check up to see whether those repairs were done?

A I did not do that personally. And I -- my memory is that, you know, all of this took a little time. Not -- obviously, not just the assessments, but applying for the money, evaluating the applications, making the awards. There are -- there's all kind of bureaucracy that occurs.

These were reimbursement grants. So the district had to spend the money first, send the Department evidence of the work they had done and the money they had spent. The Department had to evaluate the evidence against the application to make sure that they were parallel, and then the Department would free the money.

So now ask me the question again.

Q That was responsive. I want to follow up on your answer, though.

When they produced evidence, was that a bill that showed they had done it, or was it also photographic evidence or a narrative description of what they had done?

A It was -- the evidence would be contracts with the architect to design the change, the changes; contract with the contractor who was



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	performing the work; pay apps from the contractor
2	that showed the schedule of values and the payment
3	for each payout; and then a close-out document at
4	the very and so the way DOE manages these grants
5	is we, we reimburse 90 percent of what you are
6	awarded until what we call final close-out.
7	So and keep in mind that very much like

these -- very much like grants to K-12, K-12 capital outlay, I know there were some applications where the scope of work exceeded the amount of money that they were going to get. So there was theoretically local money in these projects as well as state funds in kind of a parallel fashion to the way K-12 capital outlay works.

Although that might not have been true in every case, it was true in some.

So all of that documentation had to be provided. And then at close-out, which was the final attestment that there were no liens against the property and all the bills had been paid and all the contracts had been met, and the fiscal agent for the GNETS program had to attest to that, then they would get the final 10 percent.

What I don't think we did in this case and what we didn't do, as routinely in K-12 capital



outlay, is go out and do a physical inspection to be sure -- to ensure that what you said you did in your application and what you submitted through all this paperwork, that it all -- we put our eyes on it.

I worked for the Department 10 years.

Now, that's not -- and that was not routine. Now, that's not to say Fulton County built a new school, we went through the process, they did all the documentation, and they invited us out three weeks after it opened and we went to look.

But you didn't walk through with a notepad checking things off. I mean that just wasn't -- that's not how it worked.

Q And for those who didn't apply for grants but submitted plans that said they were going to make the adjustments or address the needs themselves, was there any follow-up process on those?

A I'm not aware of that. There may have been because, again, like I said, toward -- this was really toward the end of -- I mean it wasn't. It was a few years left in my career, but I think I can say this: I certainly did not go out and inspect a particular facility against what their plan was to see if they met those conditions.



Q	You're	not	aware	of	the	field	consultants
doing tha	t either	<u>.</u> ?					

A I'm not. I don't want that to be interpreted it didn't happen. It's just not something that I recall.

Q Okay. And then what about, were there districts who couldn't front the money and wait for reimbursement? What happened when that happened?

A I'm not aware of a -- you asked -- let me answer the question you asked first.

I'm sure I don't know the answer to the question, were there districts that couldn't front the money.

I know there were districts that didn't think they could, didn't feel like they could, but I'm reasonably certain that -- well, I'm almost a hundred percent certain that the Department never sent out money without documentation that the bills had been paid because, again, my memory is these are funded with state tax exempt general obligation bonds that are reimbursable. So the bond rules required that the districts pay for -- pay first, demonstrate payment, before they were reimbursed.

Q What's the timeline for the exit strategy and remediation plan?



A I'm not sure I under	stand.
------------------------	--------

Q It takes a while for bonds to get in place and budgets have already been made. If they were provided information about needs that needed to be addressed on a short timeline, that would be harder to fine the funds for than a longer timeline?

A Yeah. Well, the scope on the bonds is five years. So these are 20-year bonds but, but -- and I do know this: You would have to remind me of the fiscal year in which this took place, but typically the way the bonds worked, and I may have said this earlier, was once we got a signed budget, April or May, GSFIC manages the bond sale, and at that time it usually took place August, July time frame, and there was usually one bond sale a year.

Now, the reason I bring that up is my early days with the Department they were doing two bond sales a year. So when you were managing cash flow, you can say, well, I don't think we're going to be ready -- we know the GNETS programs aren't going to be ready for their money for, let's say, 24 months, because of the process we're going through.

So instead of asking GSFIC to sell those bonds, we'll delay the sale of those bonds for maybe a six-month period, just a -- just with cash flow.



1	But at that point we were only doing one
2	bond sale a year. So I know that the 14 million was
3	sold in the bond sale subsequent to the passage of
4	that, of that budget.
5	So typically if the bonds are sold in
6	July, by the time they close on the sale and letters
7	of commitment and a bunch of other bureaucratic
8	stuff takes place, that I really had no role in, you
9	can reasonably expect money to be available in the
10	September, October time frame.
11	So, so so the life of but the
12	arbitrage rules on the bonds were that you really
13	had to have reimbursed a hundred percent of the
14	proceeds within five years.
15	Q So I just want to make sure I understand
16	what that means for the facilities themselves.
17	Does that mean facilities who received
18	notice in, say, July of 2016 about needs identified
19	through the facilities conditions assessment, did
20	they have two years or five years? How long did
21	they have to make the changes that were needed?
22	A I don't, I don't I don't know how to
23	answer that question finitely.
24	I think what was what practically

happened was, and again this was not something that



I had a hand in directly, that I remember, but as
these awards were made one of the things the
districts had or the entity had to provide to the
state is tell us when you're going to start your
project.

And so I know that there were, there were people back-checking to see where are you in that process, and I really don't know who they were. I know it wasn't me. At that point I wasn't really involved in that.

And keep in mind, again, by the time you go through the application process, make the award, engage an architect -- because these, these projects -- it's not like remodeling your bathroom at home. You know, you hire an architect, individual to have plans drawn. Those plans have to be submitted to DOE, because we have architects on staff that review them. Those architects are reviewing those plans to make sure that what that architect has submitted on the school's behalf matches what you said you were going to do in your application. It can do more but it's got to at least do that. And that has an approval process.

All of that takes place before you can even bid the project. Then bidding takes two to



1	four weeks two to four months.
2	So, so depending on the scope of work in a
3	particular application, it wouldn't have been
4	unreasonable to have been awarded a grant and not
5	really started the project for 24 months.
6	Q Okay.
7	A Now well, leave it at that.
8	Q Thank you. That was helpful. That was
9	helpful.
10	MS. TAYLOE: Okay. I am going to give the
11	court reporter a document produced by the State
12	GA01486553, and ask it be marked as Plaintiff's
13	Exhibit No. 121.
14	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-121 was
15	marked for identification.)
16	BY MS. TAYLOE:
17	Q Let me know when you've had a chance to
18	familiarize yourself with it.
19	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
20	A Yes, ma'am.
21	Q This is an email from you to Kerri Miller
22	and David Mosely, dated February 24th, 2017.
23	Is that correct?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And can you tell us who Kerri Miller and



1	David Mosely are?
2	A David Mosely was the superintendent of the
3	Dougherty County schools, and I'm guessing Kerri
4	Miller was the director the GNETS program.
5	Q So is this is it fair to say this is
6	MS. TAYLOE: Well, strike. Let me start
7	over.
8	BY MS. TAYLOE:
9	Q This document was sent to Dougherty
10	County, and it's a list of the attachments,
11	including Executive Summary, the completed report
12	for their location, Cost Summary and facility
13	condition score, and Letter of Assurance. Is that
14	correct?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Is this typical of the kind of letters
17	that were sent to each of the GNETS facilities
18	programs?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Did you read the executive summary when it
21	was produced?
22	A Yes.
23	Q We'll come back to that.
24	And then this says a conference call will
25	he held on Wednesday March 1st

Was this an opportunity to discuss the remediation plan and exit strategy and options for relocation that we were just discussing?

A No.

- Q What was that meeting for?
- A It was simply to give out information.

This, this was the -- well, this was the culminating activity, I referenced previously in an email, breaking these things down into their individual components. And so what this was -- once we got to that point, we sent an email to every school district -- every entity that had a program, like you said, and it had a -- it had these attachments with it.

The purpose of the phone call -- I'm not suggesting there wasn't a question-and-answer period. There was. I know there was. But the purpose of the call wasn't for you to talk to us about your exit strategy. It was -- or your remediation plan.

This was giving you out the information, letting you know that, you know, there will be additional information coming forward in the future, which I think it refers to including the process for actually making the application -- or that may have



1	actually been included in this.
2	But you got I think I remember
3	structuring this thing in such a way so that we set
4	aside a period of time this is back in the day
5	this was long before we were doing
6	videoconferencing. So this was your good
7	old-fashioned conference call, and just trying to
8	manage however many participants there were on the
9	call. It's, guys, this is for information. If you
10	got a few technical questions specific to what we've
11	given you, I'll be glad to answer them, but we're
12	not going to get bogged down in a lot of other
13	stuff.
14	So it was really that kind that's my
15	memory, it was that kind of call.
16	Q That makes sense. I didn't mean to
17	suggest it was a time for them to present their exit
18	strategy, but it was a time for you to outline the
19	process ahead in terms of their options and the kind
20	of process that might follow?
21	A I think that's right.
22	Q Okay.
23	MS. TAYLOE: I'm going to introduce the
24	document produced by the State GA0186 I'm
25	sorry. I did that wrong.



1	GA01486555. And ask it be introduced as		
2	Exhibit No Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 122.		
3	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-122 was		
4	marked for identification.)		
5	BY MS. TAYLOE:		
6	Q Do you recognize this as the Executive		
7	Summary that you indicated you read when you		
8	received it?		
9	A Yes.		
10	Q And do you see on the second page of		
11	the document is marked as Page 12.		
12	A Okay.		
13	Q Where it says Executive Summary.		
14	Do you see let me see if I can find it.		
15	The end of the first paragraph where it		
16	says: "Some structures dating back to the 1920's, a		
17	large number of facilities were constructed in the		
18	1960's and 1970's, many of these had major		
19	renovations and additions in later decades."		
20	Do you see that?		
21	A Yes.		
22	Q The next sentence says: "Most of these		
23	facilities were older mainstream schools where the		
24	student body had been relocated to newer		
25	facilities."		



## MICHAEL D. ROWLAND UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

June 09, 2022 110

1	Do you see that?			
2	A Yes.			
3	Q Is that your understanding, that that was			
4	a common occurrence in the way GNETS programs were			
5	located?			
6	A Yes.			
7	Q Do you know why that is?			
8	A No.			
9	Q I just want to back up for a second. We			
10	talked before about how the inspection was a			
11	visual-only inspection			
12	A Right.			
13	Q before?			
14	A Right.			
15	Q So would I understand from that that they			
16	did not do checks for asbestos?			
17	A Yeah. I think that's true. Because we			
18	had a lot of discussion about whether to do that,			
19	and I think the answer was that we didn't do any			
20	specific asbestos testing.			
21	Q Would that also mean there was no checking			
22	for lead paint?			
23	A Yes.			
24	Q And how about ventilation system, there			
25	would be some that would be visible but some that			



1	would be not?	
2	A Yeah. I mean they would you might	
3	remove an air filter cover, for example, and inspect	
4	the air filter to see if it had been changed.	
5	There was some ductwork probably that you	
6	could get to and see without necessarily having to	
7	go behind a wall or into a ceiling, depending on	
8	some of them may have been exposed.	
9	But other than knowing the	
10	theoretically, they could determine what year the	
11	HVAC system had been installed.	
12	Q How about lead in the water?	
13	A No, no no.	
14	Q Or radon or any other kind of exposure	
15	like that?	
16	A No.	
17	Q Okay. Are those known to be with the	
18	exception of ventilation, are the things I listed	
19	known to be more common in older buildings?	
20	A Yes.	
21	MS. TAYLOE: I'm going to introduce a	
22	document from the State GA00198597, and ask it	
23	be marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 123.	

I'm sorry, that one has been already been

marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 88. I'm sorry.



24

1	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-88 was			
2	previously marked for identification.			
3	MS. TAYLOE: I apologize.			
4	(Witness reviews exhibit.)			
5	A Okay.			
6	Q This is an email from you dated March			
7	30th, 2017 to a number of email recipients.			
8	Can you identify the recipients by			
9	category?			
10	A Obviously, it went to I think what I'm			
11	looking at is GNETS directors and superintendents.			
12	Q And can you it says you're asking for a			
13	letter of assurance for the facilities grant			
14	application process.			
15	Can you describe the role of the letter of			
16	assurance?			
17	A Again, if you, if you put one in front of			
18	me, I can certainly remember what it looked like,			
19	but I think the intent was instead of creating this			
20	methodology where the State would say here's what			
21	you have to do, and we're going to come by and			
22	visually inspect it, there was a methodology of			
23	creating a list of things that these people, as the			
24	fiscal agent and head of the school system, people			
25	with authority, would have to attest to that you had			



1 done these things
i   done these things

2 And I do not remember what was on the

3 | list. And if I saw it, I might have a different

4 opinion about what its intent was but that's

5 typically what you use a letter of assurance for.

6 Instead of me saying you did it, I want you to say

7 | you did it.

10

11

19

20

22

23

24

25

8 Q Okay. I think this is a different one,
9 because this is in advance of the --

A Was this the one saying they intended to apply or not apply?

12 Q Yes.

13 A Okay. Well, again, if you show it to me, 14 I might have a different answer. But I think --

15 there were two things -- so that sets up two

16 | thoughts in my mind: One was we were trying to find

17 out who's going to apply and who's not. And perhaps

18 | that's what this -- that's this letter was about.

But I think later on, and as part of the application, there was a letter of assurance, too.

21 | Although I might be misremembering that.

Q All right. I'm going to -- I don't have copies but we can -- it will help you to see the document?

A Yeah.



1	Q So you have it attached to your.
2	A Okay. I got it.
3	Q 00198599.
4	MS. TAYLOE: If you can pull it up so
5	counsel can see it.
6	A Yeah. So I think this is this is
7	pretty much what I remembered.
8	I mean the letter of assurance had, had
9	the first thing it wanted to know was, you know, if
10	you return this, you're telling us you intend to
11	make an application for these funds, and if you
12	intend to make an application to these funds, you
13	attest to these eight things.
14	That you need to either understand, will
15	do, comply. This is where, you know, you understand
16	that it's a competitive award, so you could, you
17	could not get anything. You understand you have to
18	comply with all the State and federal laws and State
19	Board rules and guidelines that go along with it.
20	The grant is a reimbursement grant. So
21	everybody knew that up front. If you don't think
22	you have the money up front to pull off the project,
23	don't apply.
24	You agree one of the issues we ran into
25	is, okay, if we give you this money and you



1	refurbish this facility, what's the requirement for		
2	how long you're going to stay in it. So that period		
3	was established, that 10 years.		
4	And these other things that are listed		
5	there.		
6	Q The 10-year one was the one I wanted to		
7	ask you about.		
8	A Okay.		
9	Q Because I understand like fiscally it		
10	makes sense you wouldn't want to invest a lot of		
11	money and then have the building abandoned the next		
12	year. I understand that's the intent behind it.		
13	Were you aware of any concerns by		
14	superintendents or programs that they couldn't be		
15	sure they would be in there for 10 more years, or		
16	that it restricted their flexibility in any way?		
17	A Well, what we tried to do was, was cover		
18	that in that sixth point by saying in the event it		

A Well, what we tried to do was, was cover that in that sixth point by saying in the event it becomes necessary to move a GNETS program from the facility from which the grant was expended, you just have to get prior approval from the Department.

I don't think -- I mean certainly everybody understood that, that -- you know, there's a lot of uncertainty in education when it comes to facilities, particularly as programs evolve.



19

20

21

22

23

24

1	So I think we tried to leave I wouldn't	
2	really call it an out, but at least leave the	
3	applicant with the understanding that, look, if you	
4	in good faith take the money, if you in good faith	
5	do the work, if you in good faith plan to stay there	
6	for 10 years, and three years in something happens	
7	that requires you think necessitates a move, just	
8	get with us and let's work through it. We'll work	
9	through it somehow.	
10	Q Did you have any ideas or discussions	
11	about what would account for viability? Or	
12	viability is a different letter. I'm sorry.	
13	Becomes necessary, what would qualify as	
14	necessary to move a GNETS program?	
15	A I'm sure we did. I don't remember what	
16	those specific conversations were, but I can tell	
17	you in my own mind, from my own experience, but	
18	Q What would you think those would be?	
19	A Well, I mean most	
20	MR. PICO PRATS: Objection, as far as it	
21	causes him to speculate about it.	
22	MS. TAYLOE: He just said he could say	
23	from his own experience.	
24	MR. PICO PRATS: You can answer.	
25	A Well, I mean if GNETS operates the way	



it's supposed to, you're really supposed to try to
transition these kids back into the regular
environment. So if you're a small school system
with a small population and you were successful with
every kid, theoretically you wouldn't have a need
for a program.

Now, I mean, that -- all the way -- for that to -- you know, we were in this facility but we have an opportunity to get one that's even better. Or there's a place for these kids now that wasn't available before that gives them, gives them access to things, to programs that they don't have access to there, and the school wants to make that decision.

I think the real issue for me in doing this was I don't, I don't -- I don't want to sit around and think about and make up all the things that a school system can be faced with in trying to figure out how to look after their children. That's what they should do. But when they do, what I think what we ought to be good at is listening to them and apply common sense as to whether that would be something we could support.

Q So if the Department of Education felt they had a good reason for relocating the students



or stopping using a facility that's been renovated, 1 2 the Department of Education could grant that 3 request? 4 Α I think so, yes. 5 0 Thank you. 6 MS. TAYLOE: Now a good time for a lunch 7 break? 8 MR. PICO PRATS: Sure. 9 MS. TAYLOE: Thank you. 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 11 12:38 p.m. 12 (A recess was taken.) 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 14 record at 1:28 p.m. 15 MS. TAYLOE: All right. I'd like to pick 16 back up with exhibit -- a document produced by 17 the State, identified as GA01488731, and I 18 would ask it be marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 19 123. 20 (WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-123 was 21 marked for identification.) 22 (Witness reviews exhibit.) 23 Α Okay. 24 This is an email from you to a number of 0 25 recipients dated March 14th, 2017.



1	Could you identify by category who the		
2	recipients are?		
3	A It looks like the recipients are recent		
4	directors and local superintendents.		
5	Q Is that similar to the one we discussed		
6	before about the upcoming call?		
7	A Yes.		
8	Q And this references a recent conference		
9	call. Would it be your best recollection that this		
10	is follow-up to that same call, March 1st?		
11	A Yes.		
12	Q So would it be correct to say that this		
13	email references responses to questions that were		
14	raised by the RESA personnel and the superintendents		
15	following that call?		
16	A Yes.		
17	MS. TAYLOE: And then I'd like Ms. Lill to		
18	pull up to the attachment to that because I		
19	don't have it in paper form.		
20	It's GA01488733.		
21	BY MS. TAYLOE:		
22	Q Ms. Lill will give you control of the		
23	document so you can scroll if you want.		
24	Could you describe this document for me,		
25	please?		



1	A This appears to be the frequently asked		
2	question document that was generated from questions		
3	we received subsequent to the conference call.		
4	Q This was sent out under the signature of		
5	the Georgia School Superintendent, Richard Woods; is		
6	that correct?		
7	Maybe signature is not the right word.		
8	It's on his letterhead?		
9	A Yeah, yeah.		
10	Q But it was issued by his office,		
11	presumably?		
12	A I think it was issued by the Facility		
13	Service Office on State letterhead.		
14	Q So that's your office?		
15	A Yes well, yes.		
16	Q At the time, yeah. Okay.		
17	Did you prepare these responses to		
18	frequently asked questions?		
19	A I may have contributed to them, but my		
20	recollection is it was a team effort.		
21	Q Who else do you think would have been on		
22	that team?		
23	A Pat Schofill, perhaps Sarah Morris.		
24	Q The answer to frequently asked Question		
25	No. 3 says, "The number of students served in a		



1	GNETS facility will not impact grant applications."			
2	Can you explain how that is?			
3	A I mean you may have had a facility that			
4	served 50 kids and you may have had a facility that			
5	served three kids, and the question appears to be if			
6	I have three kids in my facility, can I still apply			
7	for the money, and the answer is yes.			
8	Q If someone looked at it more in the sense			
9	of does it make sense to invest, you know, \$500,000			
10	worth of renovation funds in a facility that serves			
11	three kids, would that be a factor?			
12	A You know, I don't, I don't that may			
13	certainly have been discussed but I would think that			
14	our intent was to say, look, send us your proposal.			
15	Let's don't react to it up front with you can only			
16	apply if you have a certain number of kids. Send us			
17	what you're proposing and we'll react to it.			
18	Q Okay. And Response No. 1, would it be			
19	fair to say that's a fair and accurate description			
20	of the general procedure anticipated for the grants			
21	proposal process?			

22 A Yes.

23

Q I actually want to correct myself there.

If it's for a facility that is not requesting a grant, is that the process for them to



1	follow?		
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	And then in Response No. 7, there's a	
4	reference	to a 50 percent match.	
5	We talked earlier about local districts		
6	had to contribute some of the money and the state		
7	fund contributed some. Is that what that reference		
8	is to?		
9	A	Yes.	
10	Q	So the states had to provide 50 percent	
11	had to mat	cch whatever the I'm sorry.	
12	The local districts had to match whatever		
13	the State	contributed towards the project?	
14	A	Yes.	
15	Q	Then in response to Question No. 9, we	
16	talked a little bit briefly about the structure of		
17	GNETS, and I can't exactly remember your answer, so		
18	I'm going	to ask a question that may be duplicative.	
19	I'm sorry.		
20		Are you aware of some GNETS programs that	
21	serve stud	dents from multiple school systems?	
22	A	Yes.	
23	Q	Is that what this Question 9 is	
24	addressing	3.5	
25	A	Yes.	



Q Could you explain what was contemplated	
that the collaboration would be between districts	
that are sending students to a joint program?	
A If, if let's say the grant was for	
\$100,000 and the State is going to give you 50 and	
you have to come up with 50, and you the GNETS	
program serves five school districts in a facility	
located in a single district. Then it would be up	
to the five districts to determine what how that	
\$50,000 local match, that 50 percent local match,	
would be administered to the five districts.	
Q So, for instance, some might decide it	
would be shared equally and some might do it based	
on the number of students referred or served by the	
GNETS program?	
A That sounds reasonable.	
O And it was up to them to work that out.	

- Do you -- are you aware of any facilities
- 19 -- I'm sorry. I knew I was going to trip up on
- 20 | these words at some point.
  - Are you aware of any programs that chose not to continue to send their students to an out of county program at this point?
  - A Yes.
- Q And why was that?



1	A I really don't know what I can't	
2	speculate on what decisions local districts were	
3	making and why they were making them, but I do know	
4	that some districts decided to serve their kids at	
5	home.	
6	Q That's fair. How did you come to know	
7	that that decision had been made?	
8	A Feedback we got from the GNETS programs	
9	and the local districts.	
10	Now, it could have been an email. It	
11	could have been a phone call.	
12	Q They just reached out and voluntarily told	
13	you that was their plan?	
14	A Yeah.	
15	Q Okay. It may have could it have come	
16	up in connection with a facility, like if they said	
17	we weren't sending them there anymore, so we need to	
18	visit this facility instead of a site visit? Could	
19	it have come up like that, too?	

- A Ask me that question again.
- Q If some counties had decided not to send their GNETS eligible student to a program that serves multiple counties but instead we're going to serve them in their own, within their own county, might they have needed to reach out to your office



21

22

23

24

1	to have an assessment of the facility they intended		
2	to move them into instead?		
3	A Yes.		
4	MS. TAYLOE: Now I'm going to refer to		
5	another document that was previously marked as		
6	Plaintiff's Exhibit 87.		
7	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-87 was		
8	marked for identification.)		
9	MS. TAYLOE: Since I don't have copies of		
10	it, Victoria, can I ask you to		
11	MS. LILL: Just read the number.		
12	MS. TAYLOE: GA01488847.		
13	(Witness reviews exhibit.)		
14	A Okay.		
15	Q Okay. This is an email from you to Clara		
16	Keith and Stacey Suber-Drake and Pat Schofill, dated		
17	April 20th, 2017. Is that correct?		
18	A Yes.		
19	Q And it's a that date is a culmination		
20	of some email threads with various drafts of the		
21	facilities grant application form. Is that correct?		
22	A Yes.		
23	Q Who who worked on finalizing this		
24	document?		
25	A Based on the email I wrote, I would say		



1	that was me, Clara, Stacey, Pat, Sarah, Nakeba.	
2	Q We talked before about Clara had been	
3	between had been at times both not both.	
4	At different times had been at the	
5	Department of Education and had been at times at	
6	DBHDD. Here she has a DBHDD email address. Does it	
7	make it seem likely she was employed with the	
8	department of DBHDD?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q Do you know why that department was	
11	involved in this process?	
12	A I really don't.	
13	Q Then the attachment, which I believe is	
14	included in your document, but for the record I'll	
15	state, in case it's a separate document, is	
16	GA01488851.	
17	Do you see that?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q What is that document, please?	
20	A It is the GNETS facilities grant	
21	application and instructions.	
22	Q Is that the final version?	
23	A It appears to be, yes.	
24	Q Do you know who else from Georgia	
25	Department of Education and GNETS staff participated	



1	in the process of reviewing this application?
2	A I mean I really can't remember. It was
3	obviously meant to be a team effort. I was
4	depending on a number of people to review this with
5	input, and based on the email, I know those people.
6	But if there were others, I just don't remember.
7	Q So are you saying the people who are on
8	this email chain were involved in the process, and
9	there may have been others as well?
10	A Yes.
11	Q There may have been?
12	A May have been.
13	Q Thank you.
14	MS. TAYLOE: I'm going to have another
15	document from the state, GA0148 I'm sorry,
16	that was the one we just did.
17	It was separate on mine, but it's included
18	in his. Okay.
19	So this one is GA00132718. So this will
20	be Plaintiff's Exhibit 124.
21	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-124 was
22	marked for identification.)
23	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
24	A Okay.
25	Q This is an email from you to Mark Morgan,



1	sent on November 8, 2017.		
2	Can you tell me who Mark Morgan is,		
3	please?		
4	A I'm going to assume that it's the		
5	superintendent, but I don't know that for sure.		
6	Q Can I say superintendent of Berrien		
7	County, based on the email address?		
8	A Yes.		
9	Q Do you know what a		
10	MS. TAYLOE: Strike that.		
11	BY MS. TAYLOE:		
12	Q You say in the second paragraph: "I will		
13	be meeting with the State Board of Education later		
14	this morning to update them on responses from school		
15	systems statewide"		
16	What was the role of the State Board of		
17	Education in this process?		
18	A I'm not really sure I know how to answer		
19	that. I can tell you that my role was to report to		
20	them regularly on the work that we had been doing,		
21	which we did, and, you know, I would think their		
22	role in this was consistent with their role as State		
23	Board Members in any other matter.		
24	So I just don't really know how to answer		
25	that question.		



1	Q So would you say you were primarily
2	providing them information, or were they providing
3	you instructions as well, or was it kind of both
4	ways?
5	A I really feel like in my memory of most of
6	the meetings I had where I presented information, I
7	presented information and then left. And then if,
8	if I had gotten any direction, it was from my
9	superior later.
10	Q And that would be Pat Schofill?
11	A In this case, yes.
12	Q Could there be someone else in other
13	cases?
14	A Well, that was the chain of command. Pat
15	first me to Pat, Pat to the CFO.
16	So a directive may have come from the CFO
17	to Pat to me.
18	Q And the CFO is Ted Beck?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Sometimes he would just communicate
21	directly to you?
22	A Occasionally.
23	Q And did the State Board of Education
24	mainly ask you for information, or did they ask you
25	for your opinion and advice on things related to the



1	assessment or remediation plan?		
2	A I really believe what I provided the State		
3	Board of the State Board of Education was		
4	information, and then my experience was they		
5	received information, they may ask a few questions,		
6	and then, again, if anybody asked me for advice or		
7	for clarity, it was the chain of command.		
8	Q I'm going to shift gears again a little		
9	bit and move on to some funding questions.		
10	And we covered some of this SPLOST before		
11	and FTE, so we've already got that foundation laid.		
12	I know you said you had a general		
13	understanding, and I'm not asking for more specifics		
14	than you have. I'm just going to ask this. If you		
15	don't know, you can tell me you don't know.		
16	I read some reference to a change in the		
17	last several years about the FTE funding formula.		
18	Do you know what that was about?		
19	A No.		
20	Q With respect to square footage		
21	requirements in light of special education		
22	exemptions, does that ring a bell?		
23	A Square footage requirements with respect		
24	to special education exemptions?		
25	That language doesn't ring a bell.		



1	Q I'll try to find a time then. I'll just		
2	try to find the document.		
3	Could you tell me just generally what are		
4	the financial challenges in terms of finances of		
5	maintaining facilities for GNETS facilities?		
6	A I don't really separate the financial		
7	challenges of maintaining facilities by program.		
8	School systems all across the State have challenges		
9	related to maintaining facilities, and I mean it		
10	it doesn't matter to me who serves in them, what		
11	kids are served.		
12	So I don't know how to answer that		
13	specific to GNETS.		
14	Q Okay. I'll try to break down then some of		
15	the things that I'm just trying to break it down.		
16	A Okay.		
17	Q So facilities that are in a Local Facility		
18	Plan are entitled to annual distributions; is that		
19	correct?		
20	A In a manner of speaking, yes.		
21	Q So I understand they can choose to save		
22	them up for bulk distribution later, but they have		
23	an entitlement every year?		
24	A Yes.		
25	Q Are GNETS facilities, do they have the		



1	same stru	cture in place to get entitlement to funds?
2	A	It depends.
3	Q	Does it depend on whether they're in an
4	LFP or not	t?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	If there's a standalone GNETS facility
7	that's not	t in the LFP, does it have any access to
8	that same	kind of funding stream?
9	A	No.
10	Q	And then another funding stream would be
11	the SPLOS	I funds that general education schools
12	generally	have access to, correct?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Do standalone GNETS centers have access to
15	SPLOST fu	nds?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And to do that, they would have to get
18	agreement	by all the contributing school districts
19	to raise	taxes to pay for remediation to that
20	facility;	is that correct?
21	A	I don't think that's correct.
22	Q	How would they do it?
23	A	Well, SPLOST dollars SPLOST dollars are
24	driven by	what is listed in the referendum when the
25	taxpayers	approve it. So if the taxpayers approved



1	SPLOST dollars for school systems to use for capital
2	improvements for all all facilities in the
3	district, then, then that would be the basis you
4	used the term raising taxes. That's a pretty broad
5	term.
6	It is true SPLOST is a tax. So the but

It is true SPLOST is a tax. So the -- but the voter is allowing the district to collect that tax knowing -- or approving they would spend it on capital improvements. Those capital improvements are not typically specifically outlined in the, in the -- in the ballot question.

So if a district chooses to use SPLOST dollars to complete capital improvements at a GNETS facilities, that's legitimate.

Q Okay. Would you have any -- do you have access to information about SPLOST funds and how they're distributed?

A Be more specific about how they're distributed.

Q If a district did follow a SPLOST procedure and get SPLOST funds, would your department know which facilities they were spent on?

A No.

Q Okay. Do you have reason to believe they are spent equitably on standalone GNETS facilities?



1	A	I really don't have I don't have a	
2	basis for	drawing that opinion.	
3	Q	Okay. That's fair.	
4		And revisiting a little bit because you've	
5	clarified	some things since I last asked this	
6	question,	so I want to make sure I didn't	
7	misunderstand before.		
8		Phased out facilities are not eligible for	
9	state faci	ility funds; is that correct?	
10	A	Correct.	
11		MS. TAYLOE: I'm going to hand to the	
12	court reporter Exhibit GA04088751, and it be		
13	asked it be identified as Plaintiff's Exhibit		
14	125.		
15		(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-125 was	
16	marked for identification.)		
17		MS. TAYLOE:	
18		(Witness reviews exhibit.)	
19	A	Okay.	
20	Q	Do you recognize this document?	
21	A	I do.	
22	Q	This is an email from Mike Rowland to	
23	Leonard Mo	cCoy, dated August 10th, 2016, and we've	
24	kind of go	one back and forth about the date, but do	
25	you now ur	nderstand 2016, the summer of 2016, to be	



1	the summer the FCAs were being conducted?
2	A Sure, yeah.
3	Q This email, Mr. McCoy I'm sorry, I'll
4	back up.
5	Is Mr. McCoy one of the field
6	consultants
7	A Yes.
8	Q in the department?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Mr. McCoy lists some expenses that are
11	overhead associated with running a stand-alone
12	facility, and I think it's fair to say he
13	characterized it as inefficiencies.
14	I want you to look at the last sentence
15	there, where he says I want to see if you see
16	where he says: "There is no doubt in this ol' boy's
17	mind that facilities at aside, EBD students could be
18	better served in the schools and a great deal of
19	money is being wasted on administration and M and O
20	in the present setup."
21	EBD, do you understand that to mean
22	emotionally and behaviorally disabled students?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And M and O is maintenance and operation?
25	A Yes.



1	Q What did you think when you received this
2	email from Mr. McCoy?
3	A I thought Mr. McCoy had editorialized
4	outside the purview of his job responsibilities.
5	Q What did you mean when you said "I get
6	it"?
7	A I get it.
8	Q All right. So outside of the when you
9	say you get it, what do you get?
10	A I mean he made an argument that I could
11	understand, but
12	Q Do you see there's merit to his argument?
13	MR. PICO PRATS: Objection. That calls
14	for lack of personal knowledge, speculation.
15	MS. TAYLOE: Can we agree to.
16	MR. PICO PRATS: Those are all form
17	objections.
18	MS. TAYLOE: Right. So all you have to
19	say is objection to form.
20	MR. PICO PRATS: Okay.
21	BY MS. TAYLOE:
22	Q What was your personal opinion of what he
23	said to you or wrote to you?
24	A That he had editorialized outside the
25	purview of his job responsibilities.



1	Q And you had no opinion about the accuracy
2	of what he said?
3	A I had no way to have an opinion about the
4	accuracy because I didn't know the other side of the
5	story. Because there is one.
6	Q Are you talking about in this facility in
7	particular or in GNETS facilities in general?
8	A Well, in he's referring to a specific
9	location. And I certainly heard his side of the
10	story, but I have no I have no way to know what
11	that district's up against or how they're funding
12	anything, and it's just not my job to know that.
13	Q But you did say you understood his
14	argument?
15	A I mean I saw where he I certainly was
16	able to hear what he was saying.
17	Q And he said here "facilities aside." What
18	about not excluding facilities, do you think there's
19	an argument that facility expenditures themselves
20	are might be better used in a more consolidated
21	manner?
22	A With respect to
23	Q GNETS standalone facility.
24	A My opinion is each, each facility is a

unique set of data points, and it's impossible for



1	me to have an opinion about efficiency without
2	looking at budget records or ledger entries. I mean
3	there's just a lot that goes into an analysis over
4	what's efficient and what's not.
5	And I don't know how I don't know how
6	to respond to Mr. McCoy's comments.
7	Q You mentioned earlier that you have an
8	experts do some of the facilities assessments
9	because the field consultants were former educators
10	like yourself. Was Mr. McCoy also a former
11	educator?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Do you know what his educational or
14	professional background as an educator was?
15	A I do.
16	Q What was it?
17	A He was formally the superintendent in
18	Colquitt County.
19	Q Colquitt?
20	A Colquitt County.
21	Q And do you know how long he was
22	superintendent in Colquitt County for?
23	A I don't.
24	Q Did he have teaching experience before
25	that?



say, 8 to 10 years?

1	A	Pernaps.	I don't knot	w tnat.	
2	Q	Okay. How	many GNETS	facilities	would you
3	say you ha	ave persona	lly visited	in the last	t, I'd

A I have no idea. I mean a number.

Prior to -- prior to this grant, very few.

But subsequent to the grant, a number. I just don't know have -- I can't quantify that specifically.

Q So I thought earlier you said you went on the three test site visits but then didn't go --

11 A Sure.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

O -- on the rest of them?

A But after that, every time they moved kids to another location, they called me and I went. So I mean if you're asking me more than five and less than 20, I'm good with that answer.

Q Somewhere in that range?

A Yeah, but I can't -- I mean one of the things that I did a lot of was visit schools in general.

Q So after the three pilot sites that you visited, the ones that you visited were ones that were being -- proposed to be relocated or the ones they were proposing to be relocated to?

A Both.



1	Q Both?
2	A Yeah.
3	Q And was that as part of the exit strategy
4	process, they wanted to get approval for the new
5	facility that they wanted to use?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And did they need that approval from your
8	department to be able to move into the new
9	facilities?
10	A Yes.
11	Q From the ones you have visited, what is
12	your impression of the general condition of GNETS
13	standalone facilities?
14	A It depends. There's one in Athens that's
15	every bit as good as every school you've ever been
16	in, and then there are some in other places that,
17	you know, weren't very good.
18	Q What were your concerns about the ones
19	that weren't very good? What kinds of things?
20	A Well, mainly that, that whether this
21	was school hard to kind of know what came first,
22	the chicken or the egg, with respect to school
23	systems are offering places for programs to locate,
24	centers to locate. Well, all the schools in their

facility plan are being used. So the only thing



available is something t	that's not being used, and in
general school systems t	that stop using facilities do
so for a reason.	

And those reasons could be multiple but, but it usually results in a lesser commitment to routine upkeep.

Q So GNETS students receiving GNETS service are often, I heard you say, often placed in buildings that were vacated by general education students or were otherwise available because they weren't being used by other classes?

A Yes.

Q Do you think the lesser commitment to routine upkeep is a result of a shortage of finances or some other reason?

A My hunch would be just the -- there's competition for resources. You know, specific to -- again, some school systems took old buildings like and kept them up nicely. And so whatever students were housed there, whatever programs they housed there, they were, they were to be commended for looking after the taxpayers' asset.

But in other situations, I really don't know how to speak to what a school system used in its calculus for why it put money into one facility



1	and not the other.
2	Q You said there's competition for
3	resources. Do you think that GNETS standalone
4	facilities are less likely to earn those be
5	have access to those resources?
6	A I really don't know the answer to that.
7	Q Why did you mention competition for
8	resources?
9	A Well, I mean that's true even in schools
10	that are in the Local Facility Plan. There's just
11	so many dollars and all of this need.
12	So districts have to prioritize projects.
13	Q Yet you said there was, in these
14	facilities there was a lesser commitment to
15	maintenance?
16	A Well, I would clarify that by saying in
17	facilities that were phased out of the Local
18	Facility Plan.
19	Q Because they had been phased out, there
20	was a lesser commitment by the distribution to
21	maintain them?
22	A In general. Could be. It's not always
23	true.
24	Q Not always, but that would not be
25	ungommon?



1	А	True.
2	Q	That would be even though there are
3	currently	GNETS students being served in those
4	facilitie	s?
5	А	Yeah, I guess so.
6	Q	So I asked for a big time frame about how
7	many you	had seen in the last 10 years, and that
8	gives me	some perspective, but now I'm trying to get
9	a more re	cent perspective on. When was last time
LO	you visit	ed a GNETS facility?
11	A	2020.
12	Q	Do you remember which one or ones?
13	A	Well, the last site visit that I remember
14	was to lo	ok at a facility that where the GNETS
15	wanted to	locate a program in Washington County.
16	Q	And when was the last one before that?
17		I'm trying to get a sense, were they
18	recent or	kind of spread out over the 10 years?
19	A	Yeah. Again, most of the visits I
20	would say	really, exclusively any GNETS program
21	that I vi	sited in reference to my job at the
22	departmen	t occurred in conjunction with this grant.
23	Q	So that would place it sort of 2017 on?
24	А	Yes.

I'm going to ask you a few questions now

Q

1	about the knowledge you have about GNETS facilities.
2	This could be either, and you can let me know, if
3	it's from your personal observation or reports from
4	your field consultants.
5	Do you know whether any of the GNETS
6	facilities, the facilities currently housing GNETS
7	students, were those previously used as segregated
8	schools for black children in the '60s, '50s and
9	'60s?
10	A I do not know that, no.
11	Q Do you know for those programs that are
12	located on sites that also house general education
13	schools whether the GNETS program is sort of in a
14	locked wing or physically separated barrier between
15	them and the general education students?
16	A Again, I do not know that.
17	Q Do you know whether these facilities have
18	seclusion rooms?
19	A I do not.
20	Q Would that, to your mind, be something
21	that would be included as part of the spaces that
22	would be looked at when you look at program spaces?
23	A I really didn't have a role to play in
24	knowing what program spaces would be appropriate for

GNETS and when we -- if -- that really wasn't --

1	going into these facilities wasn't with an eye for
2	do the spaces meet program needs, because I didn't
3	really understand the nature of the program needs
4	relative to the technical experience I mean
5	technical requirements, delivered instruction to
6	those kids.

Q What were your main take-aways from the facility conditions assessments when they were completed about the conditions at GNETS facilities?

A It was very similar to what we find statewide. There were some school districts and some entities that were providing quality facilities for those students, and there were some school districts and facilities that were not, and everything in between.

Q Were there any kind of things that were more commonly issues at GNETS facilities?

A I think in general the idea that -- I don't know how -- it's dangerous to quantify because many sounds like a lot, and I don't know what the numbers are.

But what I do know is that, that in some cases students were housed in facilities that had been phased out of facility plans for a long time, and where it appeared school districts just hadn't



1	put the kind of resources into those facilities that
2	they might.
3	Q And let me back up little bit.
4	Did you agree with the recommendation to
5	close
6	MS. TAYLOE: I'm sorry.
7	Q Did you agree with the recommendation to
8	relocate the students from the nine facilities in
9	the summer of 2016?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Did you think there were other facilities
12	that it might also have been beneficial to relocate
13	students from?
14	A I don't remember one particularly, for
15	example, that I felt like we missed, especially
16	given the fact that we were offering a grant for
17	facility improvement.
18	So there's not one that stands out, I
19	think is what I'm trying to say, other than the nine
20	that were identified in that report.
21	Q Do you remember one of your field
22	consultants saying that they were haunted by the
23	gross inadequacies inadequacies that they saw?
24	A If you say they said it, they said it.

I don't remember that specifically, but if



1	you show me a document where they wrote it down, it
2	happened.
3	Q Do you remember one facility that a field
4	consultant told them he would shut down if he were
5	king?
6	A Again, I do not remember that specifically
7	but if you say it happened, it happened. It
8	wouldn't surprise me.
9	Q So you would have to see documents about
10	those facilities to be able to remember if anything
11	happened if they were among the ones that were
12	closed?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Were things that were listed in grant
15	applications as part of the grant application
16	process, were those things that were routinely
17	included in local facility plans?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Were there any things that were available
20	for funding in the GNETS grant, the GNETS facilities
21	grant, that would not have been covered by LFPs?
22	A I think so. I think the answer to that is
23	yes.
24	Q Do you have a recollection about what
25	kinds of things might have been?



1	A Things like parking. You wouldn't in
2	regular capital outlay, I think I mentioned this
3	before, repavement of parking lot or creating
4	handicapped access space in parking lots is not an
5	eligible need, but with the GNETS grants I do
6	believe, believe those were needs that were funded,
7	in some cases.
8	Again, without specifically seeing an
9	application or an award, I don't know for sure.
10	Q So if I recall, that's what you were
11	talking about there were site conditions, some
12	things would not have been reimbursable as a site
13	condition, were eligible under the GNETS grant?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Do you know why that was? That was just
16	how the grant was drawn?
17	A What I remember the discussion being was
18	that don't mind saying it. I advocated for this.
19	You have a report that says here are some
20	of the these are needs, and I understand that in
21	regular capital outlay we wouldn't fund those needs,
22	but this is different. We sent people out there and
23	said make us a list, and they made us a list, and

now we're only -- and we're only going to tell the

district, look, we're only giving you half of what



24

1	it's really going to cost. So I think as long as
2	it's something that's a need on that list, let's say
3	that's eligible for purposes of this grant.

And I'll have to tell you, I don't know if that's where we landed. I hope that's where we landed. I hope. That's my memory. I know that's, that's what we talked about. That was the rationale.

Q We already talked a little bit about how frequently GNETS standalone facilities are older than the local -- GNET local schools, and you've said they sometimes suffer from lack of maintenance more than other local schools.

Is it fair to say then that those factors mean that GNETS facilities are more likely to not satisfy contemporary codes or standards for educational environments?

A Yes.

Q What kind of codes or standards do you think they might fall within that?

A There have been changes in building codes over the years for a long time. So electrical codes, plumbing codes, air quality codes are standards more than anything. I mean these are a few that come to mind.



1	Q How about technological capacity?
2	A Again, it depends on what a district might
3	have done to upgrade that facility. But in the
4	absence of upgrades, sure.
5	Q What about modern construction materials
6	and practices?
7	A Um, that is true, but I think it's fair to
8	say that, you know, not all old buildings were
9	poorly constructed.
10	Q I don't think they would have to be poorly
11	constructed to no longer meet I mean I saw I'm
12	not a facilities expert by any stretch of the
13	imagination, but I saw things about the types of
14	glass recommended to be used for windows and things
15	like that.
16	MR. PICO PRATS: Objection for testimony
17	by counsel.
18	MS. TAYLOE: Okay. Sorry.
19	BY MS. TAYLOE:
20	Q Is it possible for buildings not to meet
21	facilities not to meet modern construction
22	materials practices even if they were well
23	constructed at the time they were built?
24	A Yes.
25	Q We talked before we looked at the



1	Cedarwood assessment. We saw it was 154 old I'm
2	sorry 1954 building, wear and tear, toilets
3	missing doors, and no food service available.
4	Remember discussing that?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Would you say would you say it was fair
7	to conclude those conditions had been in existence
8	for some time before the facilities condition
9	assessment was conducted?
10	A Yes.
11	MS. TAYLOE: I was going to look for a
12	document, so if we could take a break.
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 2:27
14	p.m.
15	(A recess was taken.)
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
17	record at 2:59 p.m.
18	BY MS. TAYLOE:
19	Q I wanted to loop back and clarify some
20	time line questions.
21	Is it correct that it was summer of 2016
22	that the students were relocated from those nine
23	facilities that we just discussed?
24	A That sounds right.
25	Q And so the grant application then, that



1	process would have started in 2017?
2	A That sounds right.
3	Q How long would it have taken for the grant
4	applications to be granted?
5	A You mean from the time they were submitted
6	to award?
7	Q Yes.
8	A Is that what you're asking?
9	Q Yes.
10	A I really don't recall. I'm sure there's a
11	record of when there was a deadline for the
12	application and there has to be a record of the
13	first award.
14	So I don't I just don't remember those
15	dates.
16	I feel like we sent award letters out.
17	Q Is it fair to say it would have been some
18	time in the next spring?
19	A Sure, I think so. That sounds about
20	right.
21	Q Then we talked about how there would be
22	some window of time because of all the pieces it
23	takes to get the funding and architects and approval
24	and like that.
25	So I think I'm trying to think about



1	the time between the 2017 grant applications and	the
2	last visit you said you conducted in 2020. What	was
3	the purpose of the visits between 2018 and 2020?	

A I only remembering visiting sites when a district that was either moving a GNETS class or center from where it currently was to another location that I had to visit the new location.

In fairness to that, there were some situations where I couldn't go, so I sent a field consultant. But somebody from the department had to visit the site where the program or center would be located and approve it.

- Q And were those facilities -MS. TAYLOE: Excuse me.
- Q Were those programs who were looking to relocate to a new facility, were the ones who had been told in 2016 to relocate their students?

A Not always. In some cases that was true, but in other cases -- what we tried to communicate to the GNETS program is look, in light of everything we've been through, if you're -- these programs can be somewhat pneumatic, as they're looking for a place to be served. So it really didn't matter whether you -- whether, whether you had been -- whether your site had been the subject of -- if

1	you've got to move or not, if you are if the
2	GNETS class or the program or center was located in
3	spot A last year and you're proposing to move it to
4	spot B, you have to get DOE approval for that move.
5	Q So any time a GNETS program was to change
6	locations, they needed DOE approval?
7	A Well, that was true when I left.
8	Q Do you know, has someone else been asked
9	to fill your position?
10	A I do not know that.
11	Q So you don't know then if anybody else is
12	continuing with those visits?
13	A I do not.
14	MS. TAYLOE: I'd like to mark a document
15	produced by the State, GA00315849, as
16	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 126.
17	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-126 was
18	marked for identification.)
19	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
20	A Okay.
21	Q This is an email from you to tcason, sent
22	February 26, 2018. Is that correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Who's Dr. Cason?
25	A I think this was the superintendent of



1	Valdosta City schools.
2	Q And does this email seem to reflect the
3	kind of approval we were just discussing where the
4	Department of Education needed to approve a
5	relocation of a GNETS location from one facility to
6	another?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Do you know if this was one of the
9	MS. TAYLOE: Strike.
10	BY MS. TAYLOE:
11	Q Was this relocation a result of the
12	facilities conditions assessment?
13	A Well, based on the email that Dr. Cason
14	wrote to Mr. Schofill, it appears that the decision
15	was or this, this act was being taken because the
16	Valdosta City schools were contemplating removing
17	themselves from the GNETS network. And so if I'm
18	reading this correctly, they were looking to find a
19	facility in their district to serve these students.
20	But the first sentence there is "As you
21	know" this is Lowndes County. I'm sorry.
22	Lowndes County school system that's not
23	true. Okay.
24	So this is why it's a little complicated.
25	It is the Valdosta City superintendent who is



1	writing the letter, but Lowndes County is the agent
2	for that particular GNETS. And Lowndes is talking
3	about pulling out of the GNETS network. So Valdosta
4	City is saying, okay, we've got to find a spot for
5	our kids, and we're proposing the old Valdosta High,
6	because they had just built a new Valdosta High.
7	And my response is that Mr. McCoy will be
8	doing that visit, not myself.
9	Q So it's possible that Lowndes County's
10	decision not to serve as a fiscal agent had
11	something to do with the facilities conditions
12	assessment but it may have been an independent
13	decision?
14	A I don't know now why Lowndes is making
15	that decision.
16	Q But for whatever reason, they're not
17	serving as fiscal agent anymore. So Valdosta is
18	looking for a new location for their program?
19	A Right.
20	Q And they arranged with you and then
21	through you, Mr. McCoy, to get the site approval
22	from the Department of Education?
23	A Correct.
24	Q Have you or anybody from your team visited
25	this site since then?



1	А	I have not.
2	Q	Do you know if anyone from your team has?
3	A	I don't, I don't I do not know that.
4	Q	Have you heard any conversations since the
5	facilitie	s condition assessment about the Valdosta
6	GNETS prog	gram conditions?
7	A	No, ma'am.
8		MS. TAYLOE: Do I still mark this as an
9	exhil	oit even though we don't have a paper copy?
10		MS. GARDNER: Yes.
11		MS. TAYLOE: GA00041561 as Plaintiff's
12	Exhibit No. 127.	
13		(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-127 was
14	marked for identification.)	
15		(Witness reviews exhibit.)
16	A	Okay.
17	Q	And this is a May 18, 2016 email thread
18	about the	pilot schools; is that correct?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Do you see that the pilot schools that
21	were visi	ted were identified as Kingsland, Waycross,
22	and Bruns	wick?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And that Kingsland was noted to be
25	average, a	and Waycross and Brunswick Brunswick



1	were noted to be critical?
2	A I do not see that in this email.
3	Q Right there.
4	A Hang on. I'm sorry.
5	Yes, I do see that.
6	Q Just since I've been using different
7	terminology in this, are the pilot facilities and
8	the test facilities that we've been talking about,
9	the three, the same?
10	A Yes.
11	Q How, how did Waycross and Brunswick come
12	to be identified as critical before the pilot visit?
13	A From the information provided in the
14	assessments that the field consultants did.
15	Q So the field consultants went out first,
16	did their assessments, and then this was the test
17	run with the architectural team to show them what
18	kinds of things to look for on the visits?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Okay. What was critical and average?
21	What were they relative to? To other GNETS
22	facilities or just a general statement of need?
23	A Yeah. I don't I mean I don't really
24	know.
25	This is a determination that was made by



1	the architectural firm, and the process was, and I
2	think we talked about this before, it was kind of a
3	first phase review by the consultants, who were just
4	using the checklist that we looked at.
5	The checklist was sent with, with the
6	comments, was sent to the architectural firm, who at
7	that point made these, these judgments of critical,
8	average, or whatever they were using. Obviously,
9	these this is the language they used in this
10	email.
11	So that was a determination made by the
12	architect based on the information they had been
13	provided in that first walk-through by the
14	facilities consultants.
15	MS. TAYLOE: Then I would like to pull up
16	GA00196912.
17	I'd like to have that identified as
18	Exhibit No I'm sorry. 128. I'm sorry.
19	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-128 was
20	marked for identification.)
21	BY MS. TAYLOE:
22	Q Do you see this that as a March 3rd, 2016
23	email relating to the RFQ?
24	A Yes.
25	Q This RFQ is request for qualifications



1	that we discussed earlier?
2	A Yes.
3	Q So you sent this to Emily and Clara
4	attaching the RFQ; is that correct?
5	A That is correct.
6	MS. TAYLOE: Then I would like to look at
7	the next the attachment ending 6913.
8	So that would be GA00196913, and identify
9	that as Exhibit 129, please.
10	We'll mark it as a separate one.
11	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-129 was
12	marked for identification.)
13	MS. TAYLOE: It's marked as an attachment
14	but it's not in one document.
15	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
16	A Okay.
17	Q Do you see on do you see on Page 2, in
18	Item No. 1, where it says, "The Georgia Department
19	of Education, and local school districts, operate 48
20	GNETS locations throughout the state of Georgia"?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And that they "are in need of various
23	repairs and upgrades to meet the needs of students
24	in the GNETS program."
25	A Yes.



1	Q Okay. So that was that reflects the
2	entity with which the contractor, the architects
3	entered into the contract?
4	The owner being GSFIC working on behalf of
5	Georgia Department of Education?
6	A That's correct.
7	MS. TAYLOE: Now I'd like to ask for
8	document 04089630 to be marked as Exhibit 130.
9	(WHEREUPON, Defendant's Exhibit-130 was
10	marked for identification.)
11	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
12	A Okay.
13	Q This is an email from you to Pat Schofill,
14	dated January 8th wait a minute.
15	MS. TAYLOE: I'm sorry, that was the wrong
16	document.
17	We're going to be looking at GA04089636.
18	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
19	MS. TAYLOE: Can we go off the record for
20	a second.
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 3:24
22	p.m.
23	(Discussion ensued off the record.)
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at
25	3:24 p.m.



1	MS. TAYLOE: I just want to clarify for
2	the record I stated the wrong exhibit number
3	before, or the wrong Bates number for the
4	exhibit.
5	Plaintiff's Exhibit 130 is properly
6	identified as GA04089636.
7	A Okay.
8	Q And you see that's an email from you to
9	Pat Schofill, dated January 8, 2017; is that
10	correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q And does this help refresh your
13	recollection about the facility ratings, the
14	facility condition index score?
15	A I mean I I referred to a draft list
16	with a column I had in the worksheet, and I'm
17	familiar with the facility condition rating
18	component.
19	MS. TAYLOE: Can we look at the attachment
20	to that, GA04089637.
21	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
22	A Okay.
23	MS. TAYLOE: Can we mark this, please, as
24	Plaintiff's Exhibit 131.
25	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-131 was



1	marked for identification.)		
2	BY MS. TAYLOE:		
3	Q Do you see the percentage ratings in the		
4	bottom column marked "Weighted Score"?		
5	A Yes.		
6	Q Do you understand that to be what you		
7	described earlier as the percentage closest to zero		
8	was problematic, and closest to one was a good		
9	condition for a facility?		
10	A Yes.		
11	Q So that if these numbers were represented		
12	as decimals instead of percentage, for instance, the		
13	.4 48 percent would be a .48?		
14	A Yes.		
15	Q Are these the scores you were referencing		
16	before when you said the ones below .4 were the ones		
17	that were marked in red on that spreadsheet?		
18	A Yes.		
19	Q Do you see on the left-hand column how the		
20	percentages are matched up to the categories that we		
21	discussed before about getting scored?		
22	A You mean General Physical Condition,		
23	Building Systems, Building Envelope?		
24	Q Yes, and how they have percentages next to		
25	them?		



1	A	es.	
2	Q	s it correct to say that thos	se

percentages in parenthesis next to those categories reflect how heavily or less heavily a score is weighted to get the overall facility condition index

6 score?

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes.

Q For instance, if a building is a high physical condition score and a low site amenity score, would have a higher overall score than one with the reverse?

A Say that again.

Q So if a facility has a ten for general physical condition and a one for site amenities, that facility would get an overall higher facilities condition index score than one that had a one for physical condition and a ten for site amenities?

A Yes.

Q Great. We talked about this before and you said it would be helpful to see a document to remind you of the scale.

A Right, Right.

Q And this was the scale that was used to generate the assessments that resulted in the overall facility index -- facility condition index



L	scores	?

- A Yeah. I think these numbers came out of 2WR's report, if I remember correctly.
- Q So before we hadn't been entirely clear about whether 2WR used the same scale or not as your facility field consultants.

Does this help clarify that?

A Yeah, yeah. Okay.

This is what I think. There were two separate -- like two separate functions. Function one was a preliminary function -- preliminary visit where we sent the consultants to do this general observation using that checklist that we looked at earlier. And that was at the request of the architect so that they could get an idea for kind of what they were going into first. Maybe just to -- maybe it was, maybe it was accurate, maybe it wasn't, but at least gave them some baseline to start with in terms of planning and, and -- without having been -- themselves not having visited any of these places.

So then they took that information and I think extrapolated the numbers and information into that, the categories we looked at, that I can't -- critical, average. Surely there was one that was



1	great, but whatever good was.
2	And then, and then maybe that drove some
3	decisions about how to organize for the visits.
4	The visits were held. The documentation
5	was collected based on these categories that they
6	listed in that left column over there, and 2WR's
7	personnel scored each of those categories
8	independently of any information really, this was
9	based on their physical assessment of the facility.
10	And so these, these numbers came from 2WR
11	assigning values to those criteria based on their
12	physical visit.
13	Q Okay. So the earlier visit by your team
14	may have been used to prioritize sites or something
15	like that, but the evaluations provided in the end
16	by 2WR were their own independent work?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. Do you see if the email that we
19	looked at first, the cover email to this, you were
20	asking to discuss with Pat Schofill your
21	recommendation about I'll pronounce it Ailey?
22	A Yes.
23	Q What was your recommendation about Ailey?
24	A Well, the email just refers to let's
25	discuss the rating for Ailey. I don't know that it



1	was I don't know that the intent of the email was	
2	to communicate any kind of recommendation.	
3	Q What was Ailey's score?	
4	A You have to put the spreadsheet back up so	
5	I can look at it.	
6	Q I'm sorry. I forgot they're electronic.	
7	A Or just tell me. I'll accept whatever you	
8		
9	Q No, I'm not allowed to testify. I	
10	remember that now.	
11	A That should prove I don't know how this	
12	works, so.	
13	And the score was 48 percent for Ailey,	
14	which is in Montgomery County.	
15	Q Okay.	
16	MS. TAYLOE: Okay. I'll hold off there.	
17	One more. If we look at GA04092894, and	
18	if I could ask that be marked as Plaintiff's	
19	Exhibit No. 132.	
20	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-132 was	
21	marked for identification.)	
22	(Witness reviews exhibit.)	
23	A Okay.	
24	Q This is an email to you dated March 1st,	
25	2017. Is that correct?	



1	A Correct.
2	Q And is that the same date as the phone
3	conference we talked about earlier?
4	A I don't remember.
5	Q Okay. Well, could you please look at
6	Question No. 4, I believe, that she asked you?
7	A Okay.
8	Q Could you read that, please?
9	A "Will my facility be closed if we don't do
10	anything? I only have 7 or 8 students and one
11	teacher at one center. I am not sure of putting 1
12	million dollars into this building is a wise move."
13	Q And could you read your response to her,
14	please?
15	A "Thank you for your questions and patience
16	through this process. I will collect questions
17	through Wednesday of next week, and the other
18	members of the program staff and I will spend
19	Thursday drafting answers. You can look for a
20	response by Monday, 3/6, at the latest. Thanks
21	again, and do not hesitate to let me know if you
22	have additional questions."
23	Q And was this one of the questions that led
24	to the that was addressed in the frequently asked
25	questions document that we reviewed earlier?



1	A Perhaps.
2	Q Was this part of the it was part of
3	that process?
4	A Yes. Yes.
5	Q Okay.
6	And who is Marilyn Dryden who wrote this
7	email to you?
8	A She is the director of River Quest GNETS
9	in Emanuel County.
10	MS. TAYLOE: I'd like to introduce
11	GA00791991, and ask it be marked as Plaintiff's
12	Exhibit 133.
13	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-133 was
14	marked for identification.)
15	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
16	A Okay.
17	Q Okay. And this is an email thread. I'd
18	like to point you to in the middle of the second
19	page there's an email dated August 15th, 2017.
20	And in the first full paragraph there, it
21	says it starts: "Our records indicate."
22	Do you see that paragraph?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Later in that paragraph, it says: "That
25	being the case, you are reminded of the directive



1	established in the document entitled GNETS	
2	Facilities Report Frequently Asked Questions dated	
3	March 14, 2017."	
4	And then it goes on to say: "This	
5	document directs that if the Local Unit of	
6	Administration, and it goes on with the different	
7	options available.	
8	A Right.	
9	Q Right?	
LO	A Yes.	
11	Q And did you send this?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q And did you send that to the GNETS progra	am
14	and superintendents list that we talked about, like	3
15	the superintendents and I don't know who the	
16	other group was in that category of emails.	
L7	A It appears that I sent this document	
18	this to a list of superintendents who had facility	
19	oversight or jurisdiction but did not make an	
20	application for grant make an application for	
21	grant funding.	
22	Q And this is the one that reminded them	
23	even if they weren't applying for grant funding,	
24	they still needed to comply with the directive to	

either provide exit strategy or report to the

1	Department of Education how they were going to
2	complete the renovations or address the needs?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. Thank you.
5	MS. TAYLOE: Then I have document
6	GA02546048, and I would ask this be marked as
7	Plaintiff's Exhibit 134.
8	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-134 was
9	marked for identification.)
10	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
11	A Okay.
12	Q And this is to answer the question before
13	we had about who all was on the Selection Committee,
L4	and I would draw your attention to the middle
15	paragraph of this email I'm sorry.
16	This email is from you to Stacey
L7	Suber-Drake, Nakeba Rahming and Clara Keith, and Pat
18	Schofill.
L9	A Correct.
20	Q And the second paragraph, it says: "I
21	have assumed the four of us would comprise the
22	selection committee but we can add or subtract to it
23	as you all see fit."
24	A Correct.
25	Q Does that help you remember who was on the



1	Selection Committee?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And who would that be?
4	A Well, at least let me say this: It
5	makes sense that those people that I indicated in
6	the email would serve, but I unless I still
7	don't I know I was part of it, I know Pat was
8	part of it. I'm sure Nakeba was part of it. But
9	beyond that I just if it was somebody else added,
10	for example, you'd have to tell me or show me a
11	document where it said, here we are.
12	I just I might have missed somebody, I
13	guess is what I'm trying to say.
14	Q Okay. This has you and four addressees,
15	so a total five people on this email, and it says
16	"the four of us would comprise."
17	Is there somebody on this email who was
18	not in the committee?
19	A It may have just been an oversight on my
20	part.
21	Q Okay. So to the best of your
22	recollection, the people on this email comprised the
23	committee and there may have been someone else but
24	you don't have a recollection?
25	A Or it may have been one, one less of



1	these. I just can't remember specifically who, who
2	who ultimately sat down and looked at the
3	applications.
4	Q Okay. I'll kind of wrap up from before,
5	so now I'm going to go back to just a few more
6	questions in my main one.
7	Mr. Rowland, do you are you familiar
8	with the term "school climate"?
9	A Yes.
10	Q What do you understand that to mean?
11	A Typically it is I understand it to mean
12	the culture of the, of the school.
13	Q And what kinds of factors would be
14	included in culture?
15	A Relationship between administration and
16	staff, relationship between staff and students,
17	relationship between staff and parents, relationship
18	between staff and families. The relationship of the
19	teaching staff to families.
20	Just to name a few.
21	Q Would you consider aspects of the facility
22	condition to be part of the school climate?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And what kinds of conditions would you
25	inglude?



A I would say in the overarching scheme of
climate, which I really in the context of my
professional background, or the things I mentioned
earlier, that poor facility condition would have a
negative impact on climate. Could have a negative
impact on climate.
Q And what kinds of conditions would you
think could have a negative impact on climate?
A Leaky roofs, poor air quality, unclean
conditions, unkept areas.
Q And how do you think that negatively
impacts climate?
A Perhaps it makes faculty, staff, students
and families feel as if the culture is unimportant.
Q That the culture is unimportant?
A It's hard to it's hard to grab a
precise word, but, you know, there are a lot of
families that live in substandard conditions but

But I think there's -- my intuition is that if the facility is in poor condition, that can contribute to poor climate.

have loving households. Just like there may be some

school climates that thrive even though the facility

Q And what conditions would it not



is not optimal.

1	contribute to poor climate?
2	A Well, I don't know the answer to that. I
3	do know that there are faculty, staffs, and families
4	that overcome some negative impacts on school
5	climate to have really positive outcomes.
6	So, you know, not just to me that's the
7	overgeneralization that always is true. I just find
8	it to be a stretch.
9	Q But it would be a matter of overcoming the
10	poor conditions?
11	A I agree with that.
12	Q Okay.
13	MS. TAYLOE: This one you're going to be
14	familiar with. I'm about to introduce
15	GA00985661, and ask that it be marked as
16	Plaintiff's Exhibit 135.
17	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-135 was
18	marked for identification.)
19	A Yes, I'm familiar with this document.
20	Q Can you state for the record what document
21	it is?
22	A It is the State of Our Schools report,
23	America's K-12 Facilities, produced by the 21st
24	Century School Fund, in cooperation with the
25	National School Council, and the Center for Green



1	Schools	•
---	---------	---

- Q And what was your connection with that council?
- A At the time I served -- in 2016, at the time of this report, I served as the president of the National Council on School Facilities.
  - Q And were you involved in the writing of that report?
    - A Yes.
  - Q I imagine it was a team effort, but were there certain sections? Or would you describe your role as primary author?
  - A In large part, primary author was the staff of the 21st Century School Fund.
    - The National Council is a -- was at the time. I'm assuming it still is -- was a group that aspired to be a collection of the various facility heads from the states, and so the staff of the 21st Century School Fund really collected the data that became the basis for the report, and then there was a work meeting between that staff and the members of the National Council to kind of sift through this information, create some wording paradigms, but I would consider the real author of the report to be the staff of the 21st Century Fund.

1	Q	Okay. Did you approve of its publication
2	under the	name of the council you were president of
3	at the tir	ne?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	I'd like to draw your attention to the
6	Executive	Summary.
7		Do you see on Page 3 at the top, where it
8	says, "A	large and growing body of evidence
9	demonstrat	tes that school facilities have a direct
10	impact on	student learning, students and staff
11	health, ar	nd school finances"?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And immediately after that: "But too many
14	students a	attend school facilities that fall short of
15	providing	20th Century learning environments because
16	essential	maintenance and capital improvements are
17	underfunde	ed."
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Do you still agree with that sentence?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	And do you see a little further down
22	let's see	if I can find a mark for you.
23		MS. TAYLOE: If I said 20th century, I
24	meant	21st Century. I'm old. Sorry.
25		I'm sorry. I have quotes here but I do



not have page numbers for them. I apologize. 1 2 So in the paragraph that's headed "K-12" 0 3 School Facilities Matter" in the middle of that 4 paragraph -- let me know when you're caught up. 5 In the middle of the paragraph it says: "Research shows that high-quality facilities help 6 7 improve student achievement, bracketed, are integral to ensuring equity in educational offerings 8 9 and opportunities for students." 10 Α Yes. 11 0 Do you still believe that's true? 12 Α I do. 13 Then on Page 7, near the top, on the end 0 14 of the first page there, it says: "Some students 15 attend school in bright, comfortable, and healthy 16 facilities, while others are assigned to 17 dilapidated, obsolete, and unhealthy facilities that 18 pose substantial obstacles to learning and overall 19 well-being." 20 Α Yes. 21 Do you still believe that's the case? 0 22 Α I do. 23 How do you think dilapidated, obsolete, 24 and unhealthy facilities pose substantial obstacles 25 to learning and overall well-being for students?



1	A I think healthy there is such a thing
2	as a healthy school. There's a healthy home.
3	So whether it's in poor air quality, air
4	circulation, aging, floor coverings that capture,
5	you know, particles from the air.
6	I mean there's any number of any number
7	of things you could point to that, that, you know,
8	the research indicates and that intuitively makes
9	sense, that, you know, poor facilities can
10	contribute to these things that we've talked about.
11	The condition of poor condition of facilities.
12	Q And so a number of those things were
13	included on the checklist that the assessment team
L4	used to evaluate the facilities they visited?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Would you expect then that if schools got
L7	low marks in a number of those categories, that that
18	would impair student opportunities for learning and
L9	well-being?
20	A Yes.
21	MS. TAYLOE: I think I'm I think I have
22	one possible thing. I want to find a document

We need to take a quick break to consult

to help close the loop on. Otherwise, I think



I'm done.

23

24

1	and see if we're close to wrapping up.
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:02
3	p.m.
4	(A recess was taken.)
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
6	record at 4:04 p.m.
7	BY MS. TAYLOE:
8	Q I have just two real quick clean-up
9	questions and I'll let you go.
10	First, have you ever said no to a proposal
11	to relocate a facility? Have you ever denied a
12	request to relocate to a facility?
13	A Yes.
14	Q On what grounds?
15	A That it wasn't a suitable location for the
16	program.
17	Q And what made it unsuitable?
18	A Condition. There have been cases where I
19	said you can locate here but here's a list of things
20	you have to do, and the owner decided it wasn't
21	worth doing what I said you had to do.
22	But there have been there have been
23	I won't be able to point to one specifically, but I
24	feel certain there was a place I visited and said,
25	no, not here. Keep looking.



1	Q And I didn't say this in the question, but
2	you only do these inspections, approval process for
3	GNETS facilities? So it was a GNETS facilities that
4	was denied
5	A That's correct.
6	Q relocation?
7	A That's correct.
8	Q Okay. Then the other question was who
9	we talked before you're helping me understand
10	about student codes and program codes and all that.
11	Who makes the decision about how students and
12	programs and things are coded?
13	A Well, the codes themselves are generated
14	mechanically. So the it's organized this way.
15	Now, let's understand that this starts with
16	identifying a site for a school I'm taking you

A site. They build a facility. It gets a code. This database, DOE plugs all that information to, and they hit a button, the system assigns a code to it.

The school code is also generated the same way, when the school opens within the, the Facility School Registry.

But the district makes the decision about



through a new school.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Τ	whether I want to open a school or a program based
2	on the configuration of students.
3	Q So I'll make sure I understand that.
4	If when a new facility or a program is
5	being opened, the district decides whether it's a
6	school or a program?
7	A So a new facility is a building. Nobody
8	decides anything about that except the builder.
9	Once you build it, it goes into the
10	database as new high school. And when we say open
11	that facility, generates a code.
12	So you have coding system works this
13	way: You have every school system in the State
14	has a code, three-digit code. And then every
15	facility within that district has a four-digit code.
16	So you've got system code, facility code.
17	Now, the question becomes inside that
18	facility do you are you going to open a school or
19	a program. And schools make that school
20	systems make those decisions, within parameters.
21	You wouldn't open a 750 student K-5 population as a
22	program. If you tried that, the department would
23	say, oh, that's a mistake, we wouldn't allow that.
24	So you so that 750 student collection
25	of kids gets opened as a school. So now we have a



system code, a facility code, and a school code.

Now, within that school the district may decide we want a certain program for our kids. It might be college and career academy, it might be a GNETS program, it might be a gifted program. And so what we're going to do is open that as a program so that it has a program code. And it's different from school code and different from facility code.

But program code, the fact that it's a program code tells the coding system you can't, you can't count this kid here. Tell me where that kid belongs. It belongs to a school somewhere. Because in that facility there may be one open school and four or five open programs, that all report back to that school code.

O Okay. I got that now.

So a facility that has a GNETS classroom or GNETS wing, for instance, would have a school code for the general education population, and a program code for the students who are being served through GNETS, and those students being served through GNETS would have school codes attached to the sending school?

- A Correct. Correct.
- Q So on the flipside then -- because that



1	seems easier when it's a classroom or wing. If you
2	have a center that serves multiple school systems,
3	there's a facility code for the facility, and a
4	program code for GNETS. Would that program code be
5	what would be the system code for that? Because
6	it would be the system in which it's located?
7	A I do not know the answer I do not
8	understand fully the funding mechanism behind how
9	centers I do know there are program codes, and
10	that does identify the student with a school code
11	and a school system.
12	All of that is really set up for

All of that is really set up for accountability and funding purposes, and that's where my -- that wasn't what I did at the department.

O Okay.

A So I don't -- because I remember having to work through this myself and being confused of where the money really goes. Does it go back to the system? Does it go to GNETS?

But there is an accounting structure that is intended for the, for the program to report that student at a school and system so that you keep that chain-of-custody, for lack of a better way to put it, to know where the kid belongs.



1	Q And so do you happen to know I
2	understand this is not something you do if the
3	GNETS code varies by program?
4	A No. In fact here's the program
5	codes sometimes overlap. I mean they were typically
6	a 6,000 number, 6001, two, three, four, five, eight.
7	You might have a program code that is
8	exactly the same but because it links to, to
9	typically a school code and typically a facility
10	code and potentially the system code, the last
11	number is irrelevant not irrelevant. The last
12	number is directed by the first set of numbers. If
13	that makes any sense.
14	Q Well, I guess what I'm trying to figure
15	out is, if you looked at a code and you knew this
16	was typically a GNETS code GNETS code
17	typically a GNETS program, say, in Valdosta, we were
18	talking about Valdosta I understand the system
19	code and the facility code and everything would be
20	different from typically a GNETS program in Colquitt
21	County?
22	A Right.
23	Q But would the GNETS code part be the same
24	or is that also more linked to the that's set up
25	by whatever the host system is?

1	A Yeah. It's, it's it here's what
2	would happen. When you go into the Facility School
3	Registry and you and this is I just about have
4	to be looking at it to remember myself how it works,
5	but when what you would do is you would go to
6	typically a you would find typically a school
7	system by code. Then you would look at the facility
8	where you wanted to open this GNETS program in that
9	system. So you have typically a system facility
10	code.
11	I would open that facility, and in that
12	facility there might not be anything. There might
13	be typically a school, might not be anything. But
14	if I say in that facility I want to open typically a
15	program, I hit the button that says open program,
16	the program generates typically a program code. I
17	don't have any control over it. It assigns it
18	typically a code.
19	It may assign it based on what it knows or
20	it just may randomly assign it. It may know there's
21	already typically a 6001, so you get 6005.
22	Q Got it.

A But it may know it doesn't really matter because once you get back to the facility system level, that's the identifier anyway.



23

24

25

1	So once that happened and then you
2	would tell the program, computer program, what the
3	open program parameters are, and it's saved, and you
4	there was an approval process through DOE. It
5	sends typically a message to DOE that says, hey, you
6	got a request for typically a program out there.
7	There's a staff person at DOE that would go look at
8	it, make sense of it, it makes sense, they approve.
9	If they have questions, there's a way to, you know,
10	communicate.
11	And that goes on until it's either
12	approved or rejected or fixed or changed, or
13	whatever they needed to do to get it working.
14	Q Okay. And then you said you were sure
15	there had been facilities that had been denied. Do
16	you know of the names of any of them, or you just
17	know there have been some?
18	A Well, I the last one I remember was the
19	one I referenced in Washington County where I went
20	and looked at a facility that when I gave them
21	typically a list of things they had to do to make it

So they found the list of things they would have -- needs they would have to address to be more trouble than it was worth?



appropriate, they passed on it.

22

23

24

25

1	A That's right. Well, I'm going to assume
2	that's what they decided.
3	MS. TAYLOE: I'm sorry. I'm trying to
4	read my colleague's handwriting.
5	BY MS. TAYLOE:
6	Q You said that was the last one you
7	remember. Were there others?
8	A That's the last one I remember. I don't
9	have another one sticking out in my memory that I
10	remember visiting and saying this won't work, but
11	there may very well have been.
12	Q And how would that, the one you do
13	remember, how would that denial have been
14	documented?
15	A I'm assuming we communicated in an email.
16	I looked at or it may that I'm not sure of.
17	It may have been an email where I said, okay, I went
18	and looked at it. If you replace the four club
19	if you replace the floor coverings, replace the HVAC
20	system, fix the broken door, whatever that list was
21	of just things you could look at, that any normal
22	human being would say, that's got to be fixed. They
23	came back and said, ahh, we'll keep looking.
24	Q And was that I don't know now to
25	pronounce this again. Oconee



1	A Oconee.
2	Q GNETS? Oconee GNETS?
3	A Yeah. Oconee GNETS. Yeah, I think so.
4	Q And when was that? How long ago was that?
5	A 2020.
6	MS. TAYLOE: I think we're done.
7	MR. PICO PRATS: I'm good.
8	MS. TAYLOE: Thank you so much for your
9	time. We really appreciate your patience
10	explaining all that to me and
11	THE WITNESS: Not a problem.
12	MS. TAYLOE: answering all the
13	questions.
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record off
15	the record at 4:17 p.m.
16	(Whereupon, the deposition concluded at
17	4:17 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF GEORGIA:
4	FULTON COUNTY:
5	
6	I hereby certify that the foregoing
7	transcript of MICHAEL D. ROWLAND was taken down, as
8	stated in the caption, and the questions and answers
9	thereto were reduced by stenographic means under my
10	direction;
11	That the foregoing Pages 1 through
12	189 represent typically a true and correct
13	transcript of
14	the evidence given upon said hearing;
15	And I further certify that I am not of kin
16	or counsel to the parties in this case; am not in
17	the regular employ of counsel for any of said
18	parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the
19	result of said case.
20	
21	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
22	subscribed my name this 20th day of June, 2022.
23	Warle L. Robern
24	
25	Wanda L. Robinson, CRR, CCR No. B-1973 My Commission Expires 10/11/2023



1	DISCLOSURE
2	STATE OF GEORGIA ) VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF FULTON COUNTY ) MICHAEL D. ROWLAND - 6/09/22
3	Pursuant to Article 10.B of the Rules and
4	Regulations of the Board of Court Reporting
5	of the Judicial Council of Georgia, I make the
6	following disclosure:
7	I am typically a Georgia certified court
8	reporter. I am here as a representative of Esquire
9	Deposition Solutions, LLC, and Esquire Deposition
10	Solutions, LLC was contacted by the offices of U.S.
11	Attorney's Office to provide court reporter services
12	for this deposition. Esquire Deposition Solutions,
13	LLC will not be taking this deposition under any
14	contract that is prohibited by O.C.G.A. 9-11-28 (c).
15	Esquire Deposition Solutions, LLC has no
16	contract/agreement to provide court reporter
17	services with any party to the case, or any counsel
18	in the case, or any reporter or reporting agency
19	from whom typically a referral might have been made
20	to cover
21	this deposition.
22	Esquire Deposition Solutions, LLC will
23	charge the usual and customary rates to all parties
24	in the case, and typically a financial discount will
25	not be given to any party to this litigation.



1	
2	ERRATA SHEET FOR THE TRANSCRIPT OF:
3	Deponent Name: MICHAEL D. ROWLAND
4	Case Caption: United States of America vs. State of Georgia
5	or Georgia
6	Case No.: 1:16-cv-03088-ELR
7	I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by
8	me on the 9th day of June 2022, taken before Wanda L. Robinson, and that:
9	I. RODINSON, and Chat.
10	1) There are no changes noted.
11	2) The following changes are noted:
12	Pursuant to state rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated
13	9-11-30(e), both of which read in part: Any changes in form or substance which you desire to make shall
14	be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reason given for making them.
15	Accordingly, to assist you in effecting corrections, please use the form below:
16	corrections, prease use one rorm serow.
17	CORRECTIONS:
18	
19	Page Line Change Reason For Change
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT
3	
4	I hereby certify that I have read and examined
5	the foregoing transcript, and the same is a true and
6	accurate record of the testimony given by me. Any
7	additions or corrections that I feel are necessary,
8	I will attach on a separate sheet of paper to the
9	original transcript.
LO	
11	
12	Signature of Deponent
13	
14	I hereby certify that the individual
15	representing himself/herself to be the above-named
16	individual, appeared before me this day of
17	, 2022, and executed the above
18	certificate in my presence.
19	
20	
21	
22	NOTARY PUBLIC
23	
24	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:
25	

